

FIRST YEAR CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER) 2019

MARCH 31, 2020



Titusville, Pennsylvania

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania seeks to promote diversity and comprehensive community development strategies of its communities and sub recipients. This goal was emphasized with the 2019 federal community development and affordable programs by encouraging the sub recipients to determine their local priorities and goals and identify projects of most need for their respective municipalities. Under Act 179 of 1984, the CDBG Entitlement Program for Non-Urban and Certain Other Municipalities (Act 179), eligible CDBG applicants must present a Three-Year Community Development Plan that identified both short term and long-term objectives. The local strategic plans become the basis for identifying the projects proposed by the applicant for funding and if meeting the CDBG requirements, approved for funding. As this is just a planning process and does not mandate the sub recipient to follow their plan when determining a year's activities, it is difficult to project the potential exact numbers of beneficiaries. Also, the CDBG state entitlement program covers a four-year period, so some of the beneficiaries are from previous years' goals that were counted once the activity was completed in 2019. FY 2019 funding had not been contracted as of December 31, 2019.

For the competitively funded CDBG projects, DCED allows the applicant to describe their needs with documentation and then ranked the applications as outlined in the 2019 Action Plan for funding. FY 2019 continued the priorities added in 2018 to the various programs to direct applicants towards certain goals of the state and federal government. This allows for the applicant to concentrate on the needs of their municipalities in specific areas where they may not have considered previously. Again, the goals and beneficiaries can fluctuate with the determined needs of the municipalities submitting the application. The anticipated numbers were determined from previous years' beneficiaries along with anticipated need based on various sources as outlined in the Consolidated Plan.

The HOPWA Program goal was to provide decent affordable housing to 557 households with tenant-based rental assistance, short term rent/ mortgage/utility assistance and permanent housing placement services. Additional services provided include supportive services-case management. This will enable clients to establish and/or maintain a stable living environment in housing that is decent, affordable, safe, and sanitary.

The HOPWA Program provided decent affordable housing that benefited 602 households for persons living with HIV/AIDS through tenant-based rental assistance, short term rent / mortgage / utility assistance, and permanent housing placement services. Additionally, 130 households received supportive services-case management.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$2,173,371 HTF: \$814,194	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	1000	26	2.6%	200	26	13.00%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$67,519 HOME: \$151,267	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	600	65	10.8%	120	65	54.2%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$82,661 HOME: \$224,094	Homeowner Housing Added	Household Housing Unit	150	9	6.0%	30	9	30%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1,629,159 HOME: \$6,994,266 CDBG-DR: \$0	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	3000	489	16.3%	600	489	81.5%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$691	Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers	Households Assisted	75	1	1.3%	15	1	6.7%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$0 ESG: \$3,674,826	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	8000	1993	24.9%	2057	1993	96.9%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	ESG: \$ 595,317	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	16000	1303	81.4%	3200	1303	40.7%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	ESG: \$ 0.00	Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added	Beds	30	0	0.00%	6	0	0.00%

Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	ESG: \$ 675,959	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	4000	674	16.9%	800	674	84.3%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME - \$0.00	Housing for Homeless added	Household Housing Unit	25	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing	HOPWA: \$6,010,576	HIV/AIDS Housing Operations	Household Housing Unit	2600	602	23.6%	557	602	115.8%
Community Planning and Capacity Building	Administration and Technical Assistance	CDBG: \$1,818,743 ESG: \$353,732 NSP: \$101,706	Other	Other	235	7	2.98%	15	7	50%
Community Stabilization	Stabilization of Neighborhoods	CDBG: \$551,478	Buildings Demolished	Buildings	300	4986	1662%	60	4986	8310%
Community Stabilization	Stabilization of Neighborhoods	CDBG: \$247,643	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	175,000	26,210	15.0%	35,000	88625* 26210 Units	74.9%
Economic Development	Economic Development	CDBG: \$0	Facade treatment/business building rehabilitation	Business	2	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
Economic Development	Economic Development	CDBG: \$0	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	10	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%
Economic Development	Economic Development	CDBG: \$32,000	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	5	1	20.0%	1	1	100%
Public Facility and Infrastructure	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$11,598,641 CDBG-DR:	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	2,000,000	462,237	23.1%	400,000	462,237	115.6%

Public Services	Services for improvement of life	CDBG: \$134,845	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	18,000	18,931	105.2%	3,600	18,931	525.9%
Public Services	Services for improvement of life	ESG: \$320,982 HOPWA:\$	Public service activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	1,000	907	90.7%	200	907	453.5%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The numbers for the 2019 CAPER are the first performance numbers for the 2019-2023 Consolidated Plan. There are a number of one-year goals met and others that were extremely low or surpassed the goal 100% or more. The goal amounts for this 5-year period were determined by comparing the last Con Plan’s outcomes, with the identified needs from the plan, along with the amount of funding available in the given year. Because the outcomes are a mix of grant years (at least three years) that had activities completed in 2019, it is difficult to determine when a project will be completed and how the results will affect the outcome for that specific goal. Based on the last five years, the outcomes will fluctuate from year to year until the third year, when the outcomes will start to fall into perspective and even out. All of the goals, except economic development saw some activity, which means that there are projects addressing the specific objective. Some of the outcomes are extremely higher than expected. This may be due to incorrect reporting by grantees. For example, demolition/clearance would not be near 5,000 buildings demolished, without DCED being aware of a major project. Most of the demolition activities proposed were under the Spot Blight objective with a proposed 2-5 demolitions expected. At best there should have been no more than 100 demolitions completed. With Public Services being over 500% than expected again this most likely was caused by grantees reporting the population of their entire municipality that could possibly benefit from the activity rather than the actual number of persons utilizing the service.

While specific use of HOPWA funds is driven by regionally identified needs, there is a broad need for affordable, accessible housing for low-income families living with HIV/AIDS. Tenant-based rental assistance and Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility payments were the services most utilized for this grant year. Funding was also used for permanent housing placement, and supportive services-case management.

HOPWA funds have been used to: increase the number of accessible housing units and /or cooperative landlords; increase the number of services offered; and promote regional resource development activities broadening the scope of available housing services; and promote planning to meet the needs of multiple-diagnosed populations.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	HOPWA	ESG	HTF
White	325,543	230	285	3001	7
Black or African American	11,536	9	263	1023	1
Asian	1,623	0	2	9	0
American Indian or American Native	542	0	0	15	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	105	0	4	6	0
Black/African American & White	38	1	13	0	0
Other multi-racial	3	2	35	196	0
Total	339,390	242	602	4250	8
Hispanic	6,721	7	105	363	0
Not Hispanic	346,296	235	497	3908	8

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

Recognizing that for the CDBG, HOME and ESG programs, but in particular the CDBG program, Pennsylvania is serving those communities that are not served by the federal direct entitlement communities, DCED removed their populations from the ACS Minority Data and analyzed the distribution of racial and ethnic groups served. The data below demonstrates the CDBG beneficiaries as a percent of non-entitlement area population in Pennsylvania:

	RACE								ETHNICITY		
	Total by Race	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	Some Other alone	Two or more races	Total by Ethnicity	Hispanic	Not Hispanic
Pennsylvania Total	12,779,559	10,430,142	1,403,143	23,641	392,595	4,279	253,070	272,689	12,779,559	815,538	11,964,021
Non-Entitled Areas Total	3,515,542	3,306,368	101,097	4,932	26,695	920	22,249	50,281	3,515,542	98,959	3,416,583
Non-Entitled Areas Percent of PA total Population	27.51%	31.70%	7.21%	20.86%	6.80%	21.50%	8.79%	18.44%	28%	12.13%	28.56%
Race/Ethnicity Population as a Percent of Non-Entitled Areas		94.05%	2.88%	0.14%	0.76%	0.03%	0.63%	1.43%		2.81%	97.19%
CDBG Served Total	339,390	325,543	11,536	542	1,623	105		41	353,017	6,721	346,296
Served as a Percent of Non-Entitled Areas		95.92%	3.40%	0.16%	0.48%	0.03%		0.01%		1.90%	98.10%

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	\$40,255,791	\$42,832,970
HOME	public - federal	\$56,193,511	\$9,417,322
HOPWA	public - federal	\$6,010,576	\$3,375,958
ESG	public - federal	\$6,009,357	\$5,867,568
HTF	public - federal	\$17,027,977	\$3,787,767
Tax Credit Equity	LIHTC	\$85,306,052	\$1,924,651
PHARE	Realty Transfer Tax -state	\$500,000	\$500,000
Tax Credit Equity	Historic Tax Credits - federal	\$3,139,318	\$0.00
FHLB	federal	\$2,380,132	\$1,241,314
Conventional debt	private	\$7,158,160	\$1,612,278
County/Local HOME	public - federal	\$1,865,000	\$747,077
County/Local Funds	Public - federal	\$9,606,118	\$1,675,309
Other	variety	\$3,315,813	\$781,320
CDBG-DR	public - federal	\$38,753,568	\$14,796,811
NSP	Public - federal	\$2,827,348	\$101,706

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

The reasons for the discrepancy of the numbers between the allocation and the amount expended for each resource are:

- The resources made available total is based on the FY 2019 allocations, as well as available for draw as of 1-1-2019 for these grants. For CDBG, HOME, and ESG the amounts may include resources committed to grantees but not drawn as of the beginning of the program year.
- CDBG resources include \$0.00 of program income received in 2019.
- CDBG expenditures are based on six years of open grants. In 2019 this represented FY 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018. No 2019 funds were expended as contracts were not awarded to the grantees until 2020.
- HOME resources include \$ 34,142.92 of program income.
- In 2019 the HOME expenditure amount reflects grants from FY 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017. No 2018 or 2019 funds were expended.
- HOPWA resources made available reflect \$2,491,191.38 in not obligated (per IDIS) FY 2018 allocations and \$3,519,385 in 2019 allocations.
- ESG expenditures include funding from FY 2017, 2018 and reallocated funds (\$135,570) from previous grants. No 2019 funds were expended
- CDBG-DR and NSP were previously awarded grants that continued into 2019. The resources available may include contract amounts awarded to grantees but not expended as of 12-31-2019.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Pennsylvania Act 179 Formula	85	85	Units of General Local Government
HOME - Statewide	100	100	Geographic Area
PA - HOPWA 7 Regional Grantees and the Allentown, Harrisburg, and Bensalem Township EMSAs	100	100	7 Regional Grantees
PA 509 Pennsylvania Eastern Continuum of Care	40	34.6	Pennsylvania Balance of State
PA 601 Pennsylvania Western Continuum of Care	40	45.4	Pennsylvania Balance of State
ESG -Statewide	20	20	Geographic Area
Housing Trust Fund State-Wide Eligibility	100	100	State-Wide
Presidential Disaster Areas	100	100	Units of Local Gov.

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

All state CDBG funds are required to be used in Act 179 (non- HUD entitlement) areas. By Act 179 distribution, as amended by the Pennsylvania 2019 Fiscal Code, up to 12% of the Commonwealth allocation is distributed competitively to eligible communities, 3% is utilized for DCED administration and technical assistance and the balance 85% is distributed to eligible entitlement communities .

In 2019, DCED has opened the eligible applicants, besides the HOME non-HUD Participating Jurisdictions, to all of Pennsylvania HOME PJs that meet one of the following criteria and all other program requirements. These PJs were previously unable to apply for the Commonwealth’s funding.

- a. Prioritize those Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) Projects where CHDO Certification has been granted by PA DCED for an award of Pennsylvania’s 15% HOME CHDO Set Aside funds by either DCED and or PHFA.
- b. Prioritize awards to HOME PJ’s whose annual HOME allocation is less than \$500,000 based on current year HOME allocation (1 county and 9 cities) and who also meet DCED’s priority consideration of HOME activities as listed in the consolidated plan, action plan and HOME Application kit.
- c. Prioritize awards to projects that preserve affordable rental housing through HOME funded rehabilitation.

For the state ESG program, the two Balance of State Continuums of Care (BOS) are given priority over the non-BOS grantees when funding is determined. Each BOS is estimated to receive 40% of the allocation minus DCED’s administration and data collection. The non-BOS applicants are estimated to receive 20%. These funds are competitively determined, and award is made on an evaluation ranking

basis which includes capacity, previous performance, need and expenditure of past awards. It is anticipated that the BOS will receive the larger portion of the award, but it is all determined by the applications received. Contracts for the 2019 grant were awarded September 26, 2019, but there were no expenditures before December 31, 2019. The breakdown of these funds will be in the 2020 CAPER. The percentages were slightly off with the Western COC receiving 45.4 % of the 2019 allocation minus DCED’s administration and data collection. There were also 6 awards made in July of 2019 to utilize \$146,042.29 of remaining or recaptured funds. The proportion was that the Eastern BOS received 54.5% with 4 grant awards and the Western BOS received 45.5% with two awards. The Direct Entitlement received no grants of these funds. In addition, at the beginning of 2019, the state initiated a pilot program to assist in the relocation of homeless Puerto Rican families after the hurricanes. Three contracts were awarded and \$54,353.72 of recaptured 2015 funds were expended.

**Distribution of the 2019 HOPWA Funding
and 2018 funding not previously expensed per AP 15**

AIDSNET - (excluding Allentown EMSA) – AIDSNET Region	\$23,456.00
AIDSNET - Allentown EMSA – AIDSNET Region	\$661,536.99
City of Philadelphia – Office of Housing and Community Development (OHCD) Bensalem Township EMSA – South East Region	\$776,562.00
Family Health Council of Central PA - (Excluding Harrisburg EMSA) – South Central Region	\$777,612.34
Family Health Council of Central PA - Harrisburg EMSA – South Central Region	\$775,096.32
North Central District AIDS Coalition – North Central Region	\$211,200.00
Clarion University – North West Region	\$340,887.00
United Way of Wyoming Valley - Excluding Pike County – North East Region	\$286,090.75
Jewish Healthcare Foundation - Excluding Pittsburgh EMSA – South West Region	\$ 96,618.00
Grantee Administration	\$10,000.00
Total	\$3,959,059.40

Table 4 - Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

HOPWA Program - The allocated amounts were determined by reviewing the percentages of live HIV/AIDS cases in the respective regions, historical funding and housing activity plans by the Regional Grantees.

The allocation for the City of Allentown EMSA counties was increased to \$661,536.99 by using \$255,971.99 from the PA award because there was a greater need identified for services in the EMSA counties.

The allocation for the City of Harrisburg EMSA counties was increased to \$775,096.32 b¹y using \$343,041.32 from the PA award because there was a greater need identified for services in the EMSA counties.

The allocation for Bensalem Township EMSA counties was increased to \$776,562.00 by using \$13,490.00 from the PA award because there was a greater need identified for services in the EMSA counties within Bensalem Township, South East Region.

The Department of Health, Bureau of Communicable Diseases, Division of HIV Disease allocates HOPWA funds to regional grantees throughout the state except for the Philadelphia, and Pittsburgh metropolitan areas which receive their own allocations. They, in turn, disperse the funds based on need for the full range of eligible activities. Each regional grantee prioritizes needs for its respective region through a formal process reflective of its demographic and epidemiological profile. The regional grantees conduct a competitive procurement process and receive plans to provide HOPWA services from large organizations and smaller, grassroots faith-based and other community organizations. The City of Philadelphia, Office of Housing and Community Development Regional Grantee uses a sole source process as it would not be practical to enter grant agreements with different agencies each year since they would not have the institutional knowledge to manage these services effectively. The following services are provided in Pennsylvania: tenant based rental assistance; short term rent, mortgage, and utility payments; permanent \ housing placement and supportive services – case management. In addition, each regional grantee has the capacity to distribute patient care funds directly if it is expedient and/or more cost-effective method for delivery.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

CDBG federal funds, though not required for funding, were leveraged by local municipalities using Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority (PENNVEST - Federal and State), United States Department of Agriculture (USDA - Federal), Neighborhood Assistance Program tax credits (NAP - state), Keystone Communities (State), Act 137 – county affordable housing fees attached to mortgages and deeds (local), in-kind contributions, cash donations and general government operations. DCED matched its administration costs through the use of the state’s general government operations funds.

HOME Match requirement of 25% was satisfied by Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency utilizing Tax Credits, Cash Non-Federal, Charges and Bond Financing. Units of General Local Government (UGLG) also contributed to Pennsylvania's match requirement providing match such as Act 137 - housing fees attached to mortgages (state) and local financial institutions (private). Note: HOME Match is calculated from October 1st to September 30th of each year in accordance with HUD regulations.

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Match requirement is 100%. Pennsylvania requires grantees to match 100% of their sub-award grant amount. Grantees in 2019 used Homeless Assistance Program (HAP - State), United Way (Local), Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence (PCADV - State), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA - Federal), Human Services Development Fund (HSDV - Federal), Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP - Federal), Victims of Crime Act (VOCA - Federal), Community Development Block Grant (CDBG - Federal), Pennsylvania Continuum of Care (COC - Federal), in-kind contributions and cash donations (Local). Pennsylvania's administration and data collection are matched using general government operations funds. Match waiver is made available to subgrantees meeting DCED prescribed waiver requirements at the time of application. Consideration is also given during the program year as well.

For the 602 households that received HOPWA housing assistance within the seven regions in Pennsylvania, the project sponsors and program subrecipients expended a total of \$3,050,858.97 in leveraged funding. Of this amount, \$1,926,178.99 as leveraged for housing assistance and \$1,124,679.98 was leveraged for supportive services – case management. The leveraging of funding was primarily with Ryan White Part B / Rebate funding, Pennsylvania state funding and foundation funding.

Other Public and private sources of funding include other federal funding; state and local governments within the respective regions. Upon meeting with a case manager, the client is assessed for housing needs. All case managers connect clients with public housing agencies, local organizations, non-profit entities, landlords, and other housing personnel. Clients are also assisted by Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), Pennsylvania Customer Assistance Program (PCAP) and utility companies Customer Assistance Program (CAP).

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	66,771,382
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	1,069,122
3 .Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	65,702,260
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	2,380,199
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	63,322,061

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Butler County 50431	12/31/2019	10,903.09	0	0	0	0	0	10,903.09
Butler County 51016	12/31/2019	6,135.50	0	0	0	0	0	6,135.50
Butler County 51105	12/31/2019	16,222.18	0	0	0	0	0	16,222.18
Butler County 51104	12/31/2019	14,786.20	0	0	0	0	0	14,786.20
City of Pottsville 50476	12/7/2018	2,280.00		0	0	0	0	2,280.00
City of Pottsville 50476	2/1/2019	220.00	0	0	0	0	0	220.00
City of Pottsville 50832	2/15/2019	2,280	0	0	0	0	0	2,280
City of Pottsville 50832	6/7/2019	220.00	0	0	0	0	0	220.00
City of Pottsville 50589	7/19/2019	2,280.00	0	0	0	0	0	2,280.00
City of Pottsville 51265	8/23/2019	2,280.00	0	0	0	0	0	2,280.00
Mount Carmel Boro 50990	9/27/2019	37,902.32	0	0	0	0	0	37,902.32
PHFA 1271	3/22/2012	168,025.88	0	0	0	0	0	168,025.88
PHFA 2012NON	5/20/2012	396,224.66	0	0	0	0	0	396,224.66
PHFA 43192	12/31/2019	0	0	0	0	0	314,740.00	314,740.00
State College Borough 50897	9/30/2019	53,368.53	0	0	0	0	0	53,368.53
State College Borough 50510	2/25/2019	34,557.08	0	0	0	0	0	34,557.08
State College Borough 50512	4/11/2019	5,817.79	0	0	0	0	0	5,817.79

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
Venango County 52099	9/30/2019	439.18	0	0	0	0	0	439.18
Venango County 52100	3/30/2019	439.19	0	0	0	0	0	439.19

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period	Amount received during reporting period	Total amount expended during reporting period	Amount expended for TBRA	Balance on hand at end of reporting period
\$ 3,442,342.27	\$ 3,142,871.75	\$ 499,210.81	\$ 0.00	\$ 6,071,487.27

Table 7 – Program Income

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Number	297	0	0	0	0	297
Dollar Amount	\$34,404,798.97	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$34,404,798.97
Sub-Contracts						
Number	128	0	1	0		127
Dollar Amount	\$16,804,977.16	\$0.00	\$267,027	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,537,950.16
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Number	297	21	272			
Dollar Amount	\$34,404,798.97	\$1,031,034.75	\$20,235,112.18			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	128	11	92			
Dollar Amount	\$16,804,977.16	\$2,253,770.00	\$27,579,159.16			

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	\$0.00					

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired	16	\$9,242,069.00				
Businesses Displaced	0					
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0					
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0					
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	14	0	0	0	1	13
Cost	\$201,244.00				17,241.00	\$184,003.00

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	557	602
Total	557	602

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through rental assistance	3350	3269
Number of households supported through the production of new units	200	26
Number of households supported through the rehab of existing units	720	554
Number of households supported through the acquisition of existing units	30	7
Total	4300	3856

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Pennsylvania in 2019 fell short of its goals on most of the areas except benefit to the special needs population. The reason for this is that when estimating the one-year goal the number is based on the average of the previous five year's beneficiaries. As grant contracts are not necessarily funded at the same time each year, the amount of funding on the street at any given time may vary. Also, the Housing Trust Fund, where many rental units will be constructed for the extremely low income, did have projects committed and units being built but only eight (8) occupied before the end of the program year.

The HOPWA Program enabled 602 households to make housing affordable and they established and/or maintained a stable living environment in housing that is decent, affordable, safe and sanitary. Thus, the clients have an improved quality of life and increased housing stability for their families. The total

number of households assisted with HOPWA Program funding exceeded the goal due to the funding available.

The goal is to prevent homelessness and provide housing stability for clients living with HIV/AIDS. Overall, the state of Pennsylvania and the Allentown, Harrisburg, and Bensalem Township EMSA’s achieved 97.83% of all clients receiving HOPWA housing assistance maintained or established a stable living situation.

Case managers assess aspects of a client’s need to provide a broad spectrum of coordinated services. Stable housing has a profoundly positive impact on client’s ability to address other medical and psychosocial needs, which translates into better health outcomes. Assessment of the client’s status through case management includes an evaluation of housing stability, continued eligibility, and access to eligible housing assistance and services. Through referral agreements, clients can access health care and support services to address their needs.

Case Managers frequently review client housing agreements to encourage compliance resulting in stable housing.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

There will be no adjustment to the future action plans of this Comprehensive Plan. Because of the nature of all the grant programs, encompassing more than one grant year, the next year will most likely equal out some of the outcomes so that the five (5) year goal will be right on the mark. This is DCED’s experience in the past Comprehensive Plan (2014 – 2018)

The Regional Grantees will continue to strive towards achieving 100% of all clients receiving HOPWA housing assistance maintaining and establishing a stable living situation.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	HOPWA Actual	HTF Actual
Extremely Low-income	25	50	400	8
Low-income	49,931	84	160	50
Moderate-income	141,631	108	42	50
Total	191,587	242	602	108

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

The numbers above are indicative of the programs that provide direct benefit to the client. In most rural areas of Pennsylvania, where these programs are provided, many of the residents are on fixed income or working low paying jobs so there is income coming into the household just not enough to support the family. It is important for the administrators of the program to make sure those of the lowest incomes are made aware of the programs and in some cases should prioritize them over those with higher income.

As most of PA CDBG funding goes to public infrastructure projects that serve the entire community, the direct assistance activities that requires income verification would have fewer grantees. Most of the direct assistance activities for CDBG would be existing owner-occupied housing rehabilitation programs. It would be expected that the majority of these beneficiaries would be of the more moderate income as they must have some form of income to maintain the home. Also, many of the grantees are only making sure the applicant meets the low-moderate income guideline, so breaking the income down further to the other categories, especially for public services, isn't reported by the grantees.

The immediate issues remain that clients will continue to struggle with securing safe, sanitary, and affordable housing because of low or inadequate incomes and the limited availability of such housing. The HOPWA funding helped many clients prevent eviction or utility shut-off. Many were also able to move from temporary living situations to permanent housing. All households receiving HOPWA assistance is required to participate in case management to assure, when possible, that crises are being averted. They work with their case managers to develop a Housing Plan outlining a timeframe and method for stabilizing their living situations and obtaining self-sufficiency.

Long-term housing needs have increased because some of the clients living with HIV/AIDS have difficulty sustaining their housing needs while facing multiple health issues, flat incomes, and employment challenges.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

During 2019, Pennsylvania's ESG grantees assisted 4415 homeless persons or near homeless with grant funding. Of these, 740 persons were assisted through street outreach programs across the state. This is a dramatic increase over the 197 served in 2018. The increase in beneficiaries is due to intensive training and technical assistance provided by the CoCs and the Commonwealth staff. Also, in the 2018 and 2019 ESG applications, Street Outreach was made a priority for funding, so one can expect the numbers in this category to grow over the years.

The Commonwealth supported the regional Continuums of Care (CoC) as its Collaborative Applicant and by providing technical assistance and training. DCED continues to actively participate in the Continuum of Care process and has staff specifically charged with assisting municipalities with their needs in reducing and ending homelessness and directing them to the respective CoCs.

DCED continued to provide HOME funds as leverage for those projects which develop permanent units for the homeless through their CHDO process and through PHFA which assists the development of permanent affordable housing units.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Priority for Pennsylvania's ESG program is rapid rehousing/homelessness prevention instead of shelter, though shelter activities are still funded and are actively requested by the grantees.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Since 2017, DCED has given greater priority to those applications which addressed the needs of the chronically homeless, homeless veterans, and/or homeless families and children.

The HOPWA Program goal is to prevent homelessness and provide housing stability for clients who are living with HIV/AIDS. Overall, the state of Pennsylvania and the Allentown, Harrisburg, and Bensalem Township EMSA's achieved 97.83% of all clients receiving HOPWA housing assistance maintained or established a stable living situation.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Currently, DCED does not have homeless discharge coordination policy in place but its consultant for the Balance of State Continuum of Care is continuing to discuss this with the Department of Health. This continues to be one of a number of priorities the two Continuum of Care governing boards have on their agenda.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania does not manage public housing authorities, as each have their own charter with HUD. However, DCED maintains partnerships with public housing authorities through:

- Presentations at Pennsylvania Association of Housing and Redevelopment Agencies' (PAHRA) Conferences on a variety of subjects of programs administered by DCED.
- Targeting of Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) funds with designated Public Housing Authority jurisdictions using state HOME funds as administered by Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency (PHFA).
- Ensuring adequate representation by public housing authorities on Commonwealth Regional Housing Advisory Boards and on the Balance of State Continuum of Care Steering Committee.
- Provide to all PHAs a Certification of Consistency with the Annual Action Plan for their agency plans. Thirty three (33) certificates were issued during 2019.

Additionally, PHFA continues to provide assistance to housing authorities that desire to undertake bond financing to modernize their public housing units or build new units through their Tax Credit Program, HOME allocation and/or state and federal Housing Trust Fund.

In June 2019 PHFA hosted its annual Multifamily Affordable Housing Conference. This was the third year of the Agency expanding the focus of the conference to include sessions on topics relevant to a wider variety of professionals working in affordable housing. Sessions included compliance with federal Fair Housing requirements – A legal consultant presented a paper intitled “*FAIR HOUSING— It’s The Law, Not Just an Idea*”. Other topics to aide the management staff of the public housing agency, included “Ageism, Violence Against Women Act, Health Care for Homeless Veterans, Compassionate Leadership, along with numerous other breakout sessions. Over 650 management staff participated in the Conference.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Public Housing Authorities manage their own program and provide opportunities for their residents to participate in management and encourage homeownership.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

PHFA continues to provide assistance to housing authorities that desire to undertake bond financing to modernize their public housing units or build new units through their Tax Credit Program, HOME allocation and/or state and federal Housing Trust Funds.

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

DCED works very closely with its grantees to address barriers to fair housing and promotes efforts for Fair Housing Choice and Affordable Housing throughout the state. One of the recommendations from the 2015 Analysis of Impediments is to Strengthen Local Zoning Ordinances, which includes providing technical assistance to local government officials, planning and zoning officials, and the public about Fair Housing regulations and how these need to be incorporated into the local municipal ordinances and codes. To meet this recommendation, the DCED awarded a contract through its Governor's Center for Local Government to provide training on the Fair Housing Act and Zoning. The GCDG has a municipal officials' training course that includes 12 training segments and training manuals, of which one deals with zoning and affordable housing. During 2019, the Pennsylvania Municipal League continued to work on the update of the zoning officials' manual to be more inclusive of the Fair Housing and other barriers to affordable housing. Please refer to the last portion of this section for more detailed description of the actual action (#5 of the Recommendations of the Analysis of Impediments). Training is expected to take place at 20 different locations throughout the state during 2020.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

1. DCED has prioritized many of the underserved populations in its applications for competitive funding. The 2019 ESG application continued to prioritize the chronic homeless, families with children, veterans and their families and domestic violence victims and gave more points in the evaluation process. The 2019 HOME program's Method of Distribution continues to prioritize the affordable housing needs of the state.
2. PHFA engages in continuous advertising and marketing programs targeting vulnerable and underserved housing populations. The Agency publishes a monthly newsletter for more than 1,000 property owners, managers, service providers, state agencies and social workers. This newsletter provides important updates on fair housing, conferences and training as well as updates on programs and resources from the Agency. All Agency programs and resources are marketed in multiple languages and brochures are broadly available at public sites and online at the Agency's website {the publications are produced in bulk - not just for HOME and HTF).
3. The PA Housing Search website is online and available 24/7 as a free web-based service. It is jointly funded through PHFA and PEMA and is used extensively as a resource tool to agencies looking to place households in affordable housing properties in all markets in Pennsylvania. Landlords and public housing agencies list their vacant units to expand real time housing options for lower income persons throughout the Commonwealth. This service provides an opportunity for displaced persons, persons with vouchers and persons who need accessible features to learn about available units online. PA Housing Search is the tool PHFA used to ensure information regarding emergency housing opportunities are available to disaster victims and victims of domestic violence under VAWA requirements.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

DCED has mandated that all sub recipients of federal funding for housing purposes (rehab, new construction, shelter, etc.) must comply with Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. 4821-4846) regulations. All applicants of all federal grants administered by DCED, sign a Statement of Assurances with the submission of their application that states they will adhere to the Act's requirements when applicable. In addition, since 2010, sub recipients must comply with the EPA's Renovation, Repair and Painting Rule as enacted in 2008. Adherence to this requirement is monitored for the CDBG and HOME programs, as well as with ESG when funding is being used for shelter renovations or conversions.

For the last two years, DCED has funded the Lawrence County Social Services Inc. through their HOME program to assist in the rehabilitation of eligible housing units in a regional application. Many of these homes are also receiving assistance with abatement of lead remediation through the agency's Lead Hazard Control and Healthy Homes Lead Hazard Grant through HUD.

DCED has also actively participated with the administration in the implementation of in the Governor's Lead Free Initiative which was announced in August 2019. In the initiative, the Governor calls for mandatory lead testing, developing local response to addressing cases of elevated blood lead levels, workforce training and resources for families.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

All of the activities DCED allows in its CDBG, HOME, and ESG programs encourage the local administrators to identify the greatest need of its lowest income populations. Due to the regulations of Act 179 for CDBG Entitlement, DCED cannot prioritize one activity over another but strongly recommends to its applicants how the funding is intended to be used. For the other grants that are competitive, ranking points are given to projects that target lower income households or special need populations beyond that required in the program regulations.

As HOPWA and HTF have their own specific program income limits, those grants are meeting their requirements.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Center continued to utilize the staff of the Financial Monitoring Center (FMC) of DCED to complete financial management and invoice review. The FMC is coupling their visits to grantees as they also complete financial monitoring for Weatherization and Community Service Block Grant communities. This process has become part of the monitoring process as staffing is limited in the program office. Please refer to CR-40 for the results of the FMC monitoring.

DCED utilizes two types of committees to further their ability to gather the needs of the Commonwealth in terms of community development, housing, homelessness, and economic development. During the citizen participation process the six Regional Housing Advisory Committees (RHAC) and Pennsylvania Housing Advisory Committee (PHAC) are the main advisors for the development of priorities for the

various programs. All changes to the Method of Distribution for the programs and the results of the CAPER are all discussed with these groups.

DCED continues to work with the two governance boards of the Balance of State Continuum of Care in promoting the priorities of the boards and developing coordinated assessment policies. The Regional Homeless Advisory Boards still maintain the local needs of the regions, but the two CoCs now allow for the adoption and regulation of the required policies and procedures of the HEARTH Act.

HOPWA's goal is to assist consumers to maintain or to obtain safe, decent, sanitary and affordable housing. By developing a housing plan and working with the clients on realistic housing goals based on their monthly budget, the case manager empowers the client with better decision making. The short-term needs are addressed first, keeping in mind long term goals.

The PHFA, utilizes their board in determining fundable projects for HTF. The Center for Community and Housing Development (DCED) sits on that board thus bringing in the DCED affordable housing priorities of the various projects.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

In the administration of the ESG program, DCED requires its grantees to coordinate with the Public Housing Authorities and other mainstream resources to make their ESG funding go farther while providing a full complement of services to its grantees. During the 2019 application round, evaluation points were given to the applicants for each mainstream resource that was indicated that would be used. During monitoring these resources are reviewed to make sure they are being used.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Based on the recommendations of the 2015 Analysis of Impediments

1. Increase Public Awareness of Fair Housing Rights

DCED requires its sub recipients to report on the actions completed to address the impediments to fair housing in their communities annually. One hundred and nine grantees under the state's CDBG, HOME and ESG submitted their reports to DCED in 2019. Twelve Direct entitlements that receive HOME and/or ESG funding from the state in addition to their direct CDBG allocation from HUD, submitted a certification that they are following their respective direct entitlement Fair Housing policy, which DCED will accept. Non-compliance to this requirement will lead DCED to take disciplinary action until the report is completed and the requirements met. The reports are being reviewed by the DCED FHEO compliance officer and action will be taken for non-compliance and/or incomplete reports. In addition, the supporting documentation for the items reported on the report are being requested and reviewed as part of the FHEO remote monitoring being carried out throughout the year.

- Every grantee must identify and publicize the name of their municipality's Fair Housing Officer annually.

- Every grantee must adopt a Fair Housing Resolution annually notifying its residents of their protection under the Fair Housing Act, the municipality's affirmation of the protection within their boundaries and publicize this throughout their municipality.
- Conduct a Fair Housing Activity that increases the public awareness of the Fair Housing Rights. These activities must be different each year.

Examples of Fair Housing Activity by Grantees in 2018

- a. Limerick Township geared its Fair Housing activity this year towards educating the children in the Township while providing parents with information and an opportunity to ask questions, as well. Limerick Township set up a Fair Housing booth, in partnership with the Housing Equality Center of Pennsylvania, at the annual Limerick Community Day, held on Saturday, August 24, 2019. This event is attended by approximately 4,000 -5,000 residents. Literature was provided by the Housing Equality Center of Pennsylvania and a staff member was on hand to answer any questions.

The Township updated the 2017 Pennsylvania's Fair Housing "Finding a New Home" coloring book and printed a few hundred black and white copies to be handed out. The coloring book was updated by adding information on the inside cover regarding Limerick Township's CDBG program and its commitment to affirmatively furthering fairness and equal opportunity in housing for individuals and protected groups. Included was a brief summary of the Fair Housing Act and PHRA and the protected classes. The book was edited so that the Dragon family found their new home in Limerick Township instead of Pittsburgh. Instead of the Coloring and Drawing Contest page that was in the original 2017 version of the coloring book, Limerick Township included information on that page about Limerick Township adopting a Fair Housing Policy. On the inside cover, they included the Limerick Township's Fair Housing Discrimination Complaint Officers contact information. On the back cover, they gave information on the Equal Housing Opportunity Symbol. The Township also handed out packs of crayons with the coloring books to anyone who stopped by the table at Community Day. They had enough coloring books left over to include in grab bags at the annual Christmas Tree Lighting ceremony which took place on Thursday, December 5, 2019. A few coloring books and crayons are also in the Limerick Township Police and Administration Building lobby.

- b. At Limerick Community Day, they had a Story Time for kids to sit and be read to. The book that was read to a group of children was "The Fair Housing Five & the Haunted House" written by the Greater New Orleans Fair Housing Action Center (GNOFHAC) - a nonprofit civil rights organization established in 1995 to eradicate housing discrimination. Two copies of the books were purchased from Amazon. At the end of Story Time, an opportunity was given to the children to ask questions. Afterwards, a number was drawn out of a hat and one child who attended Story Time received a copy of the book. The other book was raffled off. We had drawing slips that were filled out at the Fair Housing booth and a winner was selected at the end of the day and given a copy of the book.
- c. The City of Pottsville conducted a Fair Housing Coloring & Essay Contest among the local schools in December 2019. Grades Kindergarten through 3 participated in a coloring page contest, grades 4-8 participated in a coloring poster contest, and grades 11-12 participated in an

essay contest. The theme was "Dare to be Fair". There will be a winner from each grade level for both the coloring contest and the poster contest selected. The winners will be chosen and awarded in February 2020

- d. The City of Butler has a cable company, Armstrong, that has a community channel, Channel 10, which has local programming and displays advertising 24 hours a day. The City of Butler and the County of Butler partnered together to broadcast the Fair Housing Notice on Channel 10 for a period of three (3) weeks beginning Monday, March 25, 2019. The ads are displayed for 20 seconds per rotation of advertising 24 hours a day. Channel 10 is broadcast in Butler, Zelienople and Grove City and is accessible by approximately 66,000 homes.
- e. The City of Bradford designed a Fair Housing video that provides examples of housing practices, which are not acceptable under the law; a listing of protected classes and contact information to report complaints of housing discrimination. The video is posted on the OECD's website and sent to the following organizations that serve the protected classes such as the Friendship Table (serves meals to the poor), Bradford Area Public Library, YWCA, YMCA, Futures Rehabilitation Center (workshop for adult persons with disabilities), Dickinson Center (behavioral health services) and the McKean County Housing Authority for posting on their social media sites. The video was also sent to the local radio station (WESB) and the local newspaper (The Bradford Era) for posting on their websites/social media outlets.
- f. For 2019, Fayette County, Pennsylvania hosted a lunch and learn event focused on increasing public awareness of Fair Housing Rights. It was held on Thursday, April 11, 2019 during the lunch hour at the Connellsville Canteen (131 West Crawford Ave. - Connellsville, PA 15425). The lunch event, sponsored by the Fayette County Housing Consortium and co-hosted by the Connellsville and Fayette County Redevelopment Authorities, featured a presentation from the Fair Housing Partnership. The hour-long presentation included an overview of fair housing, legal rights, protected classes, enforcement, a review of the Fair Housing Act and other civil rights legislation, as well as other state and local laws. The speaker included a discussion of the role of private organizations such as the Fair Housing Partnership. The presentation was followed by a Q&A. In past years, this event primarily targeted realtors; however, it has been attended by members and staff of local government and redevelopment authorities. In 2018 the event was expanded to include local bankers and local elected officials. As a result, approximately thirty realtors, bankers and local government officials whom do business in Fayette and Westmoreland Counties (including Scottdale Borough) were in attendance. For 2019 the FCHC increased its outreach by running Fair Housing Public Service Announcements (PSAs) on social media and a local AM/FM radio station (WMBS). The PSAs were aired four (4) times daily from April 15-30, 2019.
- g. Southwestern Pennsylvania Legal Services conducted numerous workshops in the western half of the state, in the fall of 2019. Many municipalities joined with neighboring municipalities to offer the workshop to a larger audience. This is an excerpt from one description: Lawrence County and partners, held a workshop "The Fair Housing Act: What is Fair Housing?" in which Southwestern Pennsylvania Legal Services presented on October 2, 2019. The workshop registration was from August 26, 2019 until September 25, 2019, there were twenty-one (21) registrants. The workshop was held at Linden Pointe Training and Workforce Development Center in Hermitage, PA on Wednesday, October 2, 2019 with nineteen (19) attendees. The

speakers did a power-point presentation on the Fair Housing Act and What Fair Housing means. The workshop concluded with question and answer session for the participants.

2. Improve and Better Utilize Financial Assistance for Housing, and;

3. Increase Access to Special Needs Housing

- a. DCED continued to prioritize for funding in the HOME Program to place affordable housing, especially for the special needs populations as higher scoring projects over other forms of eligible applications. The HOME Method of Distribution now reads: DCED still encourages applicants to target HOME funding to specific geographical areas or special population to maximize impact and/or leverage other funding that aides the beneficiaries with their needs. These will be reviewed when evaluating
- b. DCED has prioritized many of the underserved populations in its applications for competitive funding. The 2019 ESG application continued to prioritize the chronic homeless, families with children, veterans and their families and domestic violence victims and gave more points in the evaluation process. The HOME program's Method of Distribution continues to address the affordable housing needs of the state.
 - 1) In 2019, DCED continued to allow the eligible applicants, besides the HOME non-HUD Participating Jurisdictions, for HOME funding to all of Pennsylvania HOME PJs that meet one of the following criteria and all other program requirements. By allowing this, DCED is increasing the potential of funding being used in underserved areas of the state in producing affordable housing units. Because these smaller PJs have the experienced staff but not the resources, its hoped that more smaller developments can be developed in the more rural cities and municipalities.
 - 2) Prioritize those Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) Projects where CHDO Certification has been granted by PA DCED for an award of Pennsylvania's 15% HOME CHDO Set Aside funds by either DCED and or PHFA. CHDO projects generally are addressing a specific housing need based on the mission of the CHDO. These tend to be smaller developments that are more related to the communities that they are located in.
 - 3) Prioritize awards to HOME PJ's whose annual HOME allocation is less than \$500,000 based on current year HOME allocation (1 county and 4 cities) and who also meet DCED's priority consideration of HOME activities as listed in the consolidated plan, action plan and HOME Application kit.
 - 4) Prioritize awards to projects that preserve affordable rental housing through HOME funded rehabilitation.
- c. PHFA continues to make it a priority to fill accessible rental housing units with tenants requiring the features of these units. When they ran a report in 2016 of their portfolio properties, they found that only 69.7% of mobility units had people living in them that required the features of the units and 81.4% of the units built with both mobility and hearing and vision features were occupied by tenants that required those features. Those results were not acceptable and to this end they developed a policy entitled – An Affirmative Marketing Strategy for Accessible Rental Housing to be used with all units receiving funding from them. The results of this policy being put into place are:

“Ultimately, since June of 2016, all but one new portfolio tax credit property has had their accessible units filled by those that require the features of the units. The results for all tax credit properties renting up during that same time period are that 90% of mobility units are filled by those that need that feature and 91% of the mobility/hearing/vision units were filled by those needing those features. Finally, 72% of the portfolio mobility units are now occupied by tenants that require the unit features, and 88% of the portfolio units that are designed for mobility and hearing and vision impaired individuals are housing tenants that need those features.”

- d. PHFA Housing Assistance for Special Needs Populations PA Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program Pennsylvania Rental Assistance Program is a partnership between the Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency (PHFA), the PA Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED) and the PA Department of Human Services (PA DHS). The goal of the program is to eliminate financial barriers to accessing rental housing for people transitioning out of nursing homes and for people with disabilities. It is a bridge subsidy for qualifying individuals that will provide up to two years of rental assistance to enable them to acquire a more permanent subsidy. PHFA and its funding partners have identified target counties for the Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program funded with Pennsylvania HOME allocation. By working with the local county housing coalition, service providers and housing authority, the TBRA program will be offered in targeted counties and municipalities throughout Pennsylvania. The partnership with the PA DHS is focused currently on helping consumers transition from nursing facilities into the community. The TBRA program using DCED HOME funds provides rental assistance for households with disabilities. In 2019, no (0) households were assisted by the TBRA funding.
- e. HOPWA’s Regional grantees have historically cited affordable, accessible, and non-discriminatory housing as a priority need of people living with HIV/AIDS in Pennsylvania. The majority of individuals served with HOPWA funding are living at or below the poverty level, are Medicaid eligible, and receive other health and human services. Because people living with HIV/AIDS are increasingly poorer and are living longer, their needs continue to shift from services related to dying and death to longer-term basic needs such as housing. In Pennsylvania’s rural communities, safe and affordable housing is a rare commodity. There is little incentive for landlords to improve housing quality. Additionally, because of societal stigma, people living with HIV disease are reluctant to disclose their status in rural communities. The housing of people living with HIV/AIDS is increasingly an issue of poverty, mental health and substance abuse.

Specific barriers to HOPWA clients, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations are noted in Appendix C of this report. A synopsis is presented by category; specific regions are cited if the barrier was not universal among the seven regions.

Strengthen Linkages between Transportation and Jobs

- a. DCED continues its partnership with the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation in linking transportation with land use and planning of the local communities. PennDOT recognizes its role as a partner in the continuing development of great communities throughout Pennsylvania. As such, PennDOT has been working to ensure that transportation improvement

projects are born out of a sound planning process that truly links transportation planning decisions with community land use decisions. To this end PennDot, DCED, Pennsylvania's chapter of the American Planning Association, and all of the municipal organizations prepared a handbook for local communities titled "*Integrating Transportation and Land Use in Comprehensive Plans*". This handbook is one in a series of technical support documents prepared by PennDOT to enhance the partnerships between state, county, and municipal officials with the aim of enhancing the linkage between transportation and land use.

The aim of this handbook is to provide enhanced guidance for preparing the transportation elements of municipal and county comprehensive plans and to maximize the linkages between comprehensive plans and the decision-making processes outlined in the long-range transportation plans (LRTPs) completed by the state's metropolitan and rural planning organizations (MPOs and RPOs). This document will support municipalities in making the best possible decisions for the future of their communities. This handbook is intended to be applicable for all levels of local government contemplating the comprehensive planning process—municipal, multimunicipal, or county. The approaches outlined are flexible to adapt to a wide range of budgetary conditions and in all types of municipalities, whether experiencing slow, moderate, or rapid growth.

As Pennsylvania's varied government entities work together to better understand and more effectively plan to improve the integration of land use and transportation, they are supporting their individual missions as well as advancing shared goals—strengthening communities, conserving resources, and making the most of infrastructure investments.

- b. In FFY 2019, SEDA-COG's (Council of Governments in the central portion of Pennsylvania, encompasses eleven counties and numerous municipalities). Community Development and Housing Departments set a Fair Housing goal to strengthen linkages between transportation and jobs, with an emphasis on exploring and identifying regional development opportunities that locate and provide access to jobs near where people live. Through discussions with SEDA-COG's Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), it had come to their attention that a Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan was put into place in 2014 and a new plan was set to be adopted on September 30, 2019. The Plan is intended to meet federal transportation planning requirements, as well as to provide SEDA-COG's Region, the MPO, and their regional partners with a "blueprint" for implementing a range of strategies intended to promote and advance local efforts to improve transportation for persons with disabilities, seniors, and persons with low incomes. This Plan covers many topics including transportation gaps that limit access to jobs and employment opportunities for all persons, including low and low-moderate income persons. In May of 2019, the COG office, on behalf of member counties, disseminated a letter including, but not limited to, counties, municipalities, planning commissions, chambers, and public housing authorities. The intention of the letter was to raise awareness of the current Plan in relation to transportation and access to jobs and encourage

recipients of this letter to provide input when the comment period opened, by providing additional information on how to do so ahead of the September 2019 adoption date.

5. Strengthen Local Zoning Ordinances

DCED is continuing its update to the Governor's Center for Local Government (GCLC) Planning Series #10 "*Reducing Land Use Barriers to Affordable Housing*", training manual for local government officials. The update will incorporate information on identifying and removing impediments to fair housing that may exist in local government land use policies and ordinances. Presently the publication focuses on barriers to housing affordability. The update will necessitate revision of some current content and addition of new content to adequately address issues of fair housing impediments. Content of the update shall at least include:

- a. Fair housing law and its applicability to local government policies and ordinances.
- b. Examples of impediments to fair housing in local land use ordinances.
- c. Model best practices and incentives, with discussion and recommendations for inclusionary zoning, to promote fair housing and affordable housing development in local government land use policies and ordinances.

The second portion of this contract will develop and deliver a series of training courses on fair housing. The courses will roll out the updated Planning Series Publication #10 and present information on fair housing law, regulatory impediments, and best practices and tools included in the publication update. The Contractor will provide twenty (20) fair housing training courses at different locations throughout the Commonwealth. Geographic locations shall be chosen on the basis of one course per group of two to four counties with consideration of population, distribution of federal funding grantees, and travel times and distances.

The publication is going through the final revision of the information and should be ready for publication by the summer of 2020. The trainings will be held during the summer and fall of 2020.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

A DCED grantee contract is monitored at least once during the life of the contract and always before the contract is closed. DCED updated their monitoring checklists to include the statutory references for HOME and CDBG in 2014 and FHEO in 2016. These checklists are patterned after the checklist HUD monitors use. .

DCED's revised monitoring plan and strategy was updated in 2017 and was successfully implemented again 2019. The plan leverages staff resources and capacity, existing systems, and protocols and manuals. The monitoring plan incorporates program guidelines, risk analysis evaluations, desk reviews, remote monitoring, and onsite monitoring protocols to assess sub-grantee compliance with HOME/CDBG project, programmatic, and compliance requirements. This monitoring plan describes workflow processes, procedures, policies and required protocols to assist DCED staff to implement monitoring procedures.

Formal invoice review and thorough fiscal monitoring began during 2014 to start the process of doing a more comprehensive approach. As DCED allows its grantees to drawdown directly from IDIS on their own, every invoice must first be approved by DCED through its Financial Management Center (FMC). Failure to follow the Financial Management Directive triggers a violation notice which requires a corrective action process. A second violation may limit their ability to drawdown funds from IDIS. These invoices then are randomly selected on a quarterly basis and the monitoring division of the FMC reviews the supporting documentation for the invoicing. This financial review is considered remote monitoring and triggers a monitoring letter requiring any finding to be cleared with an approved corrective action plan or repayment of funds.

For HOME projects, the DCED HOME staff conducts all of the monitoring also based on a risk score basis.

The breakdown of the various forms of monitoring completed on the CDBG, CDBG-DR, HOME, and ESG are as follows:

Breakdown of Monitoring

On site (contracts)

CDBG: 201

CDBG Comp. - 34

HOME: - 38

ESG: - 13

CDBG-DR: - 1

Remote/Desk

Environmental Reviews: 43

Labor Standards: 26

FHEO - 9

Invoicing/Fiscal

Invoices Processed: 3,849

CDBG 3149

HOME - 700

Fiscal Monitoring Reports:

CDBG: 101

HOME: 27

HOPWA Monitoring

The HOPWA Program has a formal monitoring and evaluation system to ensure quality service and appropriate levels of care. Each regional grantee is responsible for the development and maintenance of a needs assessment and the prioritization of services. Regional grantees monitor agencies and oversee the disbursement of funds. The Pennsylvania Department of Health, Division of HIV Disease, monitors regional grantee expenses monthly.

HOPWA funds have been used to: increase the number of accessible housing units and /or cooperative landlords; increase the number of services offered; and promote planning to meet the needs of multiple-diagnosed populations.

Statewide planning linkages include: The Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development plans for representation on the statewide HIV Planning Group: Division of HIV Disease staff representation along with the regional grantee's inclusion in the Pennsylvania and Regional Housing Advisory Committee meetings and/or public hearing processes.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The Commonwealth conducted a public comment period on its 2019 CAPER. The public comment period began on March 9, 2020 and concluded on March 25, 2020. DCED provided a draft copy of the CAPER and PER for comments on DCED's website at: <http://dced.pa.gov> . The Commonwealth must provide its citizens the opportunity to comment on the findings of the CAPER for a minimum of fifteen (15) days. The Notice of Citizen Comment was e-mailed to all federal program grantees, administrators, local government officials, and interested parties. DCED has found electronic blasts to contact lists more beneficial to the public than newspaper advertisements. This process provides direct contact with the target areas and organizations that provide assistance to low-moderate income persons and during the Action Plan citizen participation process has provided more involvement and comments than in the previous years of the process when expensive newspaper advertisement was used. CD and H Alerts were e-mailed to all contact lists on March 9, 2020.

DCED also published a Notice of Citizen Comment of the CAPER in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* on March 7, 2020.

There is a provision in the Notice that persons with disabilities and organizations representing persons with disabilities would be given accommodations in order to be involved in the citizen participation process for the CAPER as per the requirements of Section 504. The Notice is sent to organizations that have clients, who may be interested in the document.

As part of the Language Access Plan of DCED, a Spanish version of the CAPER narrative has been placed on the website in the Español section of the library. Notice of the provision of this service was placed in the Notice for Availability of the Draft CAPER in the PA Bulletin and to the contact lists. In addition to the media blast, final copies of the 2019 CAPER will be provided to HUD via the E-con Planning Suite, the six DCED Regional Offices and the Pennsylvania's 29 District Libraries via electronic means. If copies of the

document cannot be obtained at these locations, they are available by contacting Megan L. Snyder in the DCED Center for Community and Housing Development, 400 North Street, 4th Floor, Commonwealth Keystone Building, Harrisburg, PA, 17120, or by telephoning 717-720-7404 or TDD: (717)346-0308.

The final copy will be maintained on DCED website at: <http://dced.pa.gov> until the Consolidated Plan is updated in 2024. The highlights of the performance report will be presented to the Pennsylvania Housing Advisory Committee at their October 2020 meeting and will also be discussed during the public hearing for the 2021 Action Plan and during the RHAC meetings as the start of the 2021 planning process. Minutes of all meetings are maintained in the program office of DCED.

Citizens Comments Received

No citizen comments were received during the citizen comment period.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

There were no changes to any of the programs' objectives in 2019.

For the CDBG Entitlement Program: As Pennsylvania's CDBG Method of Distribution is driven by its Act 179 regulations, no changes may be made to the program to change its objectives.

For all other programs, program managers are constantly reviewing application submission and needs that are identified throughout the year. Though every objective of a specific project is eligible for application submission, DCED has been setting specific priorities, targeted populations and specific set asides to better incorporate the statewide needs with how the grantees wish to use the funding in their own communities.

If there is a need for a change in the program's objectives during the funding year, a substantial amendment will be made to the Action Plan in accordance with the Citizen Participation Plan adopted by the Commonwealth. If the change can be held off to the next fiscal year, this option is preferred.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

The Commonwealth has no BEDI grants

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

The copy of the 2019 PHFA Inspection report is Appendix B of this report.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units. 92.351(b)

DCED requires all applications for new rental units, requesting HOME funding, to provide their affirmative marketing plan with their application package. In 2019 there was one award for small rental unit projects, those with less than nine (9) units, and all larger projects, which go through PHFA, had their plans as part of their application. This requirement must be met before a project is given approval and the funds are considered committed.

DCED also requires all grantees of all the federal programs covered under this CAPER, to adopt a Fair Housing resolution for their jurisdiction. For grantees that have housing programs, as part of the monitoring process, they must show how they complied with affirmatively further fair housing when advertising their programs and/or renting their units.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

The total HOME Program Income receipted by Pennsylvania's state sub recipients was \$226,294.12 in 2019. In addition there was \$40,876.19 in repayments and \$293,324.65 of recaptured funding. These funds will be used to continue the programs the UGLGs earning the funds are administering, which is generally owner-occupied housing rehabilitation, if they have an open HOME grant. If not, the funds will be returned to DCED and given to PHFA to use towards their PennHOMES rental housing program.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

The Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency (PHFA) combines the HOME funding received from the state's allocation with its LIHTC as well as program income from their HOME programs, state funding (PHARE and State Housing Trust Fund) and Housing Trust Fund allocation to develop new affordable rental housing. These funds can be utilized to construct new rental units or rehabilitate existing units that need repairs to meet housing quality standards.

CR-55 - HOPWA 91.520(e)

Identify the number of individuals assisted and the types of assistance provided

Table for report on the one-year goals for the number of households provided housing through the use of HOPWA activities for: short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments to prevent homelessness of the individual or family; tenant-based rental assistance; and units provided in housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds.

Number of Households Served Through:	One-year Goal	Actual
Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility assistance payments	39	7
Tenant-based rental assistance	498	547
Permanent Housing Placement	80	101
Units provided in transitional housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Units provided in permanent housing facilities developed, leased, or operated with HOPWA funds	0	0
Adjustment for Duplication	50	53
Total	557	602

Table 14 – HOPWA Number of Households Served

Narrative

Evaluate the performance of HOPWA funded programs based on the self-evaluation; explain any adjustments and improvements that will be made to more effectively implement the strategies.

Pennsylvanians living with HIV/AIDS encounter multiple barriers to their well-being; some of those barriers are poverty, stigma, discrimination, and social isolation. The inability to find affordable, safe housing, or to remain in one's home, can be an enormous obstacle to care for persons who have HIV disease. Short term rent, mortgage, utility payments, and a continuum of rental assistance are critical needs. As health diminishes, persons living with HIV/AIDS experience significant need for ancillary and supportive services. Federal (Ryan White CARE Act)/Rebate funding and state funds are available to the regional grantees for supportive services and case management for persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families. Although a variety of services are available, each regional grantee has identified, and attempts to provide, those services that are priorities in its region.

Case management continues to be an integral part of the service delivery system for each regional grantee. Each case manager is responsible for assessing clients and establishing individualized care plans to assist clients in maintaining or securing safe, stable, affordable housing. Emphasis is placed on developing realistic housing plans for clients to follow and their responsibilities regarding referrals such as those for credit counseling and the public/subsidized housing application. A formal monitoring and evaluation system ensure the quality and propriety of this care.

Each regional grantee is responsible for the development and maintenance of housing needs assessment and prioritization of services. The regional grantees will monitor project sponsors and program subrecipients and oversee disbursement of funds. Regional grantees will continue to network with local government housing agencies.

For the 602 households that received HOPWA housing assistance within the seven regions in Pennsylvania, the project sponsors and program subrecipients expended a total of \$3,050,858.97 in leveraged funding. Of this amount, \$1,926,178.99 as leveraged for housing assistance and \$1,124,679.98 was leveraged for supportive services – case management. The leveraging of funding was primarily with Ryan White Part B / Rebate funding, Pennsylvania state funding and foundation funding.

Other Public and private sources of funding include other federal funding; state and local governments within the respective regions. Upon meeting with a case manager, the client is assessed for housing needs. All case managers connect clients with public housing agencies, local organizations, non-profit entities, landlords, and other housing personnel. Clients are also assisted by Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), Pennsylvania Customer Assistance Program (PCAP) and utility companies Customer Assistance Program (CAP).

All clients are required to apply for local Section 8/public housing - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) programs when available, before receiving HOPWA services. Clients are referred to charitable organizations as well as to utility companies and local assistance offices for assistance with rent or utilities. Case managers make sure their clients have access to food stamps.

Housing services are coordinated with other sources of support in the regions which consist of Ryan White housing and case management, State funding, private foundations, local shelters and mental health services. Case managers' work with clients to ensure other housing resources are exhausted prior to using HOPWA funding. Coordination of services is conducted within each county's continuum of care. Agencies work with each other where possible to ensure all housing needs are met. Case managers continue to coordinate with landlords and other private, non-profit area agencies, as well as local public housing offices.

Trends in the community that may affect the way in which the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS are being addressed, and other information important to the future provision of services to this population is noted below:

AIDSNET and AIDSNET– Allentown EMSA

- City housing renovations create higher rents which decrease stock of affordable housing units
- Units don't include utilities, which increases the overall cost to client when using the FMR
- In 2019 a new day center in downtown Reading, The RISE, opened for drug and alcohol clients in recovery featuring recovery information, support and education.
- The three day-centers: YMCA, Camp Adahi and City Lights for homeless in Reading remain open.
- An additional day-center for homeless men and women opened at Hope Rescue Mission continues to serve more clients.
- The LGBT Center of Greater Reading is expanding under new leadership offering new support groups for ages youth to senior.

- The Consumer Advisory Board at the Ryan White clinic – Center for Public Health- is active and developing strategies for all HIV positive clients.
- Individuals from New Jersey, New York, Puerto Rico are moving into the region looking for affordable housing, which increases rents (supply and demand) and increases need for affordable units.

North Central District AIDS Coalition – North Central Region

Within the region there are areas that have impoverished economic conditions, making it increasingly difficult to find affordable housing. In other areas, there are rents rising as communities increase property taxes to meet budgetary needs, forcing landlords to raise rents. The opioid crisis also continues across the region, impacting many of their clients and creating an additional stigma.

United Way of Wyoming Valley - North East Region –

- The lack of safe, affordable housing in the NE Region.
- Splintered HOPWA funding management for Pike County. HOPWA funding for Pike County is managed by New Jersey. All other funding for Pike County is managed by the North East Region
- Limited availability of transportation for clients to attain full community mobility.
- Long Waiting List for Section 8 and Public Housing
- Limited Supported Employment programs for people with poor work skills
- Limited housing for people with criminal histories
- Limited emergency housing for people in the NE Region

Clarion University - North West Region

Bed bugs are a trend in many of the rental units, especially in the cities of Erie, Sharon and Farrell. This is a serious health concern and something that isn't easily resolved. Exterminating the bugs is an expense the clients cannot cover. If the client is living in a single-family home, the landlords are expecting the client to pay for extermination. The expense to do the three-step treatment needed to rid homes of the bed bugs is an expensive one. The client's home might be bed bug free, but the bugs can be transferred on the client from a family member's or friends' home that does have them. If the client lives in an apartment building, the bugs can come from rental units that have been infested.

Clients with bedbugs must discard their furniture leaving them with nothing in their home causing additional expenses of replacing furniture when the bed bugs are exterminated. Clients have been assisted with leveraged funding to obtain new beds. These clients also receive covers for the new mattresses to ensure they will remain bed bug free. There is education material available for the medical case managers to assist the clients in this matter. The clients are also given the names of stores that provide the least expensive products to help with the extermination process. Another trend is the increase of clients that have multiple health issues and HIV and mental health concerns. These clients are growing in numbers because they are living longer. Also, the cases of drug addiction and the cycle of clients going in and out of treatment facilities or jail is increasing. Clients have problems with neighbors and landlords that can potentially lead to evictions. The complexity of these cases makes it overwhelming and time consuming for the housing case managers and the medical case managers.

The county health department has been contacted for suggestions and/or assistance with extermination. A task force has been formed to start discussions on this epidemic. Until these offices

can assist, the medical case managers and the housing coordinator continue to provide education to clients on how to treat the bed bugs and how not to bring them into their home. They also receive names of stores that provide the least expensive products to help with the extermination process.

Family Health Council of Central Pennsylvania - South Central Region EMSA and Non-EMSA

- The Harrisburg Housing Authority and Dauphin County Housing Authority continue to keep their Voucher Program wait lists closed.
- With increased rental rates exceeding the FMR for an area, it becomes more difficult with this population of primarily low-income individuals.
- Agencies face significant barriers with undocumented individuals that cannot be assisted through any traditional means. Often they will accept anything that is available, which includes “slum landlords” or living with family in overburdened units.
- The trend of a growing student population in the rural areas continue to make affordable housing more difficult to find.
- The expansion of UPMC in Blair County has helped with coordinating care between PC and specialists. It has also made patient information more available to clients.
- There continues to be an increasing disparity between adequate and affordable housing.
- The Section 8 list continues to be closed in most of the counties of South Central PA, and have long waiting lists. Public housing options are minimal and have long waiting lists especially for those consumers who need to house a large family (3 – 4 bedrooms) or an individual.
- A lack of employment/income is an increasingly frequent trend. Many consumers are also waiting an exorbitant amount of time for social security appeals and have no other income options during the appeal process
- Clients move to this region from Maryland, West Virginia, and Ohio for housing assistance and care services.

City of Philadelphia – Division of Housing and Urban Development - South East Region – Bensalem Township – EMSA

Bucks County - More applicants are coming from out of state that includes Ohio, New York and Texas and from the Philadelphia area for the following reasons:

- Clients want to be closer to family
- Loss of employment
- Prior Unsafe living conditions
- Some clients have shared that Philadelphia wait list is too long and they were in need of housing
- Some come from Philadelphia being released from prison / halfway house
- More services with Medical Case Management agencies
- Fast service
- The areas of living are better

Chester County - Homelessness continues to be a problem. In 2016 shelter service began to be prioritized based on vulnerability which includes HIV/AIDS status. Use of the Vulnerability Index – Service Prioritization Decision Tool (VI-SPDAT) with clients and their families to determine risk and prioritization when providing assistance to homeless at risk of homeless persons.

Montgomery County - The HIV/AIDS population is living longer and there are now elder issues such as the need for home health care and aging and adult services.

The Jewish Healthcare Foundation - South West Region

Trends that are continuing are clients making sure that they have access or live close to their case-workers and/or healthcare providers including support from family and friends. This enables all agencies to collaborate to keep clients stable and in healthcare.

CR-56 - HTF 91.520(h)

Describe the extent to which the grantee complied with its approved HTF allocation plan and the requirements of 24 CFR part 93.

Tenure Type	0 – 30% AMI	0% of 30+ to poverty line (when poverty line is higher than 30% AMI)	% of the higher of 30+ AMI or poverty line to 50% AMI	Total Occupied Units	Units Completed, Not Occupied	Total Completed Units
Rental	8	0	50	108	0	108
Homebuyer	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 15 - CR-56 HTF Units in HTF activities completed during the period

- Two developments from the original 2016 HTF allocation funding award participated in the Agency Low Income Housing Tax Credit program and have been placed in service and occupied by extremely low income individuals meeting the income restrictions. One of the properties is general occupancy development in Chester County, consisting of a total of 48 units with 11 units set aside for veterans and persons with disabilities. The other project is a 60 senior property in Allegheny County with 6 of the units occupied by persons meeting the HTF limits (10% of the units). Both of these properties have a substantial number of the units occupied by tenant at or below 50% AMI and meet or exceed a number of the minimum elements set forth in the HTF Allocation Plan including the provision of services to extremely low income tenants.

CR-56: Data on emergency transfers requested under 24 CFR 5.2005(e) and 24 CFR 92.359, pertaining to victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, including data on the outcomes of such requests.

PHFA confirms that there have been no requests for emergency transfers at the above properties

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	PENNSYLVANIA
Organizational DUNS Number	088115720
EIN/TIN Number	383849352
Identify the Field Office	PHILADELPHIA
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Kathy
Middle Name	A
Last Name	Possinger
Suffix	0
Title	Director, Center for Community and Housing Development

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	PA Department of Community & Economic Development
Street Address 2	Commonwealth Keystone Bldg, 400 North Street, 4th Floor
City	Harrisburg
State	PA
ZIP Code	17120-
Phone Number	7177207315
Extension	0
Fax Number	0
Email Address	kapossinge@pa.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Ms
First Name	Kathy
Last Name	Possinger
Suffix	0
Title	Director, Center for Community and Housing Development

Phone Number 7177207315
Extension 0
Email Address kapossinge@pa.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2019
Program Year End Date 12/31/2019

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name
City
State
Zip Code
DUNS Number
Is subrecipient a victim services provider
Subrecipient Organization Type
ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

Sage - ESG CAPER is in Appendices Section – Appendix A

HUD has removed this portion of the CAPER and has mandated the use of its separate reporting system for beneficiary information - **Sage**. The Combined Reports may be found in the Appendix section of this document. It is in Appendix A and entitled HUD ESG CAPER 2018. This will include both the Total summary of persons benefitting from the Pennsylvania ESG program in 2018 but also it outlines the persons by the ESG activity they received assistance with.

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units – Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units – Conversion	0
Total Number of bed - nighths available	109,928
Total Number of bed - nighths provided	87,717
Capacity Utilization	80%

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Continuum of Care project outcomes are determined through the HUD System Performance Measures. As of the FFY2016 CoC NOFA Application the performance measure outcomes have been used by each CoC as the benchmark measures. The Balance of State CoCs have their own CoC-wide monitoring plans that include CoC and ESG coordination, which focuses heavily around program performance through data analysis. The monitoring plans were finalized by June of 2017 and are being evaluated and implemented by the CoC’s Data Management Committees, co-chaired by DCED, to assess and improve program performance.

In 2019, to further DCED and HUD’s mission of coordinating both ESG and CoC programs, the Balance of State CoC’s adopted Written Standards as a guide for service providers to ensure consistency of program performance and delivery throughout the CoC.

During the application process, DCED evaluates applicant participation in their respective CoC more thoroughly by focusing on targets, goals, and performance measures including the evaluation of applications with the CoC prior to award allocation determinations.

As of January 23, 2018, the Balance of State CoCs have established Coordinated Entry systems that are functional through HMIS to help with an electronic referral process for a more efficient process of helping clients reach housing stability. This process also allows for identifying the specific needs of clients through a vulnerability assessment aimed toward the most appropriate referral for housing placement.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	128,058	257,482	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	6,381	37,345	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	165,736	114,749	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	300,174	409,576	0

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	811,195	912,092	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	156,728	319,265	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	649,496	681,386	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	1,617,419	1,912,743	0

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Essential Services	154,907	199,678	0
Operations	106,299	154,777	0
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
Subtotal	261,206	354,455	0

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2017	2018	2019
Street Outreach	174,772	158,776	0
HMIS	93,471	75,897	0
Administration	105,367	109,017	0

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2017	2018	2019
	2,552,411	3,020,466	0

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2017	2018	2019
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	396,485	238,636	0
Other Federal Funds	1,093,956	1,272,475	0
State Government	812,730	530,276	0
Local Government	52,215	459,420	0
Private Funds	691,406	873,761	0
Other	118,135	243,249	0
Fees	195	0	0
Program Income	1,155	0	0
Total Match Amount	3,166,277	3,617,816	0

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2017	2018	2019
	5,718,688	6,638,282	0

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

APPENDICES

- A. Sage ESG CAPER**
- B. PHFA Inspection Report**
- C. HOPWA Additional Barriers to Fair Housing**
- D. Citizen Comments and Public Notices**
- E. 2019 Performance and Evaluation Report (PER)**

APPENDIX A

ESG CAPER for 2019

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) CAPER Report

Report Dates:
1/1/2019 to 12/31/2019

CR-65

Q4: Persons Served By Activity

Number of Persons in Households

Type	Homelessness Prevention	Rapid Rehousing	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Total Served
Adults	360	1219	982	595	2950
Children	313	765	295	99	1385
Don't Know/Refused	0	9	21	46	74
Missing Information	1	0	5	0	6
Totals	674	1993	1303	740	4415

**Section Contains No Data equates to 0 clients served across all categories for this report period*

Q5: Persons Served By Gender

Number of Persons in Households

Gender	Homelessness Prevention	Rapid Rehousing	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Total Served
Male	315	928	667	384	2140
Female	354	1055	627	329	2225
Transgendered	0	3	1	6	9
Unknown	5	7	8	22	42
Totals	674	1993	1303	741	4416

**Section Contains No Data equates to 0 clients served across all categories for this report period*

Q6: Persons Served By Age

Number of Persons in Households

Age Range	Homelessness Prevention	Rapid Rehousing	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Total Served
Under 18	313	765	295	99	1385
18 to 24	51	183	143	76	427
Over 24	309	1036	839	519	2523
Don't Know/Refused	0	9	21	46	74
Missing Information	1	0	5	0	6
Totals	674	1993	1303	740	4415

**Section Contains No Data equates to 0 clients served across all categories for this report period*

Q7: Special Populations

Sub-Populations	Homelessness Prevention	Rapid Rehousing	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Total Served
Veterans	46	118	62	51	262
Victims of DV	27	288	105	68	471
Elderly	10	63	38	47	152
HIV/AIDS	0	3	3	1	7
Chronic Homeless	78	78	54	106	235
<i>Persons with Disabilities</i>					
Severely Mentally Ill	94	422	422	174	1078
Chronic Substance Abuse	14	99	247	64	416
Other Disability	97	514	284	169	1041
Unduplicated Totals	185	893	670	336	1995

*Section Contains No Data equates to 0 clients served across all categories for this report period

CR-10

Persons Served By Race/Ethnicity

Race

Race	Homelessness Prevention	Rapid Rehousing	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Total Served
White	511	1230	966	503	3001
Black or African-American	126	609	236	110	1023
Asian	0	3	5	3	9
American Indian or American Native	0	10	2	5	15
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	2	3	1	6
Multi-Racial	22	112	56	20	196
Unknown	6	15	18	19	53
Totals	666	1981	1286	661	4303

Ethnicity

Ethnicity	Homelessness Prevention	Rapid Rehousing	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Total Served
Hispanic	43	184	91	67	363
Non-Hispanic	623	1796	1179	570	3908
Unknown	8	13	33	104	145
Totals	674	1993	1303	741	4416

*Section Contains No Data equates to 0 clients served across all categories for this report period

CR-70

Shelter Utilization

Number of Beds - Rehabbed	
---------------------------	--

Number of Beds - Conversion	
Total Number of Beds Nights Available+	109928
Total Number of Beds Nights Provided	87717
Bed Capacity Utilization	80 %

**Section Contains No Data equates to 0 clients served or there are no Shelter programs included*

+For the Bed Nights Available number to be accurate your shelter programs must have correct and up-to-date housing inventory setup in HMIS

APPENDIX B

**PHFA HOME Multi-Family Unit
Inspections for 2019**

2019 HOME Affordable Rental Housing Inspections

by the Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency

PHFA #	PROJECT NAME	CONCLUSION	INSP DATE
O-412	Gargano Towers	Excellent	3/22/2019
O-431	Hopkins Commons	Good	10/9/2019
O-434	Crawford Commons	Excellent	9/27/2019
O-445	New Visions (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-450	The Homestead	Good	10/16/2019
O-456	Rose Square	Good	7/12/2019
O-467	Orchard Run	Fair	4/30/2019
O-492	Delaware Run	Excellent	4/26/2019
O-503	Stevens Affordable Housing	Fair	10/30/2019
O-506	Kenner Court SRO (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-507	Snodgrass	Fair	4/19/2019
O-510	Orchard Run II	Good	11/1/2019
O-517	Lock Haven Commons	Good	7/9/2019
O-518	White Deer Commons	Fair	9/24/2019
O-542	Hite House	Good	3/1/2019
O-544	High St. Manor (Selinsgrove)	Excellent	5/21/2019
O-545	Wind Gap Manor	Good	8/1/2019
O-546	Roth Village	Good	3/19/2019
O-547	Valley View Apts	Good	5/29/2019
O-548	Oak View Terrace	Good	9/24/2019
O-549	New Visions-Shippensburg (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-556	Hopkins Commons II	Good	10/9/2019
O-591	Annville Elderly Housing	Good	10/25/2019
O-595	Chicora Commons	Excellent	3/1/2019
O-596	Willow Ridge	Good	10/25/2019
O-598	Butz House (Newport Square)	Good	9/26/2019
O-600	Limerick Green	Good	6/21/2019
O-602	Village at Briewood	Good	10/2/2019
O-622	Penn Hills Senior Housing	Good	4/5/2019
O-630-SH	The Oaks/California Sr Housing	Good	9/6/2019
O-634	Dimeling Sr. Res.	Good	3/15/2019
O-635	Hill Terrace	Good	9/24/2019
O-636	The Wilbur	Good	7/16/2019
O-637	Valley View II	Good	5/29/2019
O-638	Rockwood Sr Housing	Good	10/9/2019
O-640-SH	Dunmore Sr Housing	Good	6/25/2019
O-641	Pheasant Hill Estates II	Excellent	6/25/2019
O-644	Franklin Place	Good	10/11/2019
O-647-PR	Washington Terrace Apartments	Good	6/5/2019
O-648	Silver Spring Courtyards	Good	4/23/2019
O-649	Cottage Green/King's Grant	Good	3/27/2019
O-650	Springwood Glen at Georgetown	Good	5/15/2019
O-652-PR	Altoona Evergreen Manor, Phase II	Good	8/9/2019
O-679	Wellsboro Senior Housing (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-680	Scott Elderly	Good	7/30/2019

PHFA #	PROJECT NAME	CONCLUSION	INSP DATE
O-690	Tamaqua Hi-rise	Good	8/8/2019
O-692	Deer Lake Apartments	Good	5/31/2019
O-693	Market Street Manor	Good	5/21/2019
O-696	Seneca Court	Excellent	9/20/2019
O-731	Village of Laurelbrook Landing	Excellent	9/11/2019
O-734	Valley View III	Good	5/29/2019
O-735	Mercer Senior Housing	Excellent	9/6/2019
O-737	Belmont Knoll	Good	3/15/2019
O-744	Winola View	Good	2/5/2019
O-746	Chestnut Street Apartments	Good	8/21/2019
O-750	Villas at Gettysburg	Fair	3/7/2019
O-752	Terra Sylvan	Good	4/9/2019
O-766	Rolling Road Regency Apts.	Excellent	2/22/2019
O-772	Georgetown Heights	Good	3/14/2019
O-775	St. Gabriel's Apts.	Good	4/3/2019
O-780	Legacy Commons	Excellent	8/2/2019
O-789	Queens Pointe	Good	6/11/2019
O-791	Silver Spring Gardens	Good	4/23/2019
O-792	Enola Commons Sr. Apts.	Excellent	7/13/2019
O-798	Valley Townhouses	Good	6/26/2019
O-799	Perlo Ridge III	Excellent	10/16/2019
O-801	Mathewson Park Apartments	Good	7/23/2019
O-809	Mill Race Commons	Good	2/27/2019
O-814	Allegheny Point	Good	8/13/2019
O-820	Under our Wing Apts.	Excellent	2/15/2019
O-824	Old Friends at New Oxford	Excellent	2/28/2019
O-851	Valley View IV	Good	5/29/2019
O-852	Heritage Square II (aka Mercer Sr Housing)	Excellent	9/6/2019
O-853	Knob Crest	Good	3/15/2019
O-857	Glen Oaks Sr. Housing/Indiana Sr.	Good	2/8/2019
O-868	Faxon Commons	Excellent	1/8/2019
O-869	Cedarfield Apartments	Good	4/16/2019
O-882	Village at Laurelbrook Landing II	Excellent	9/11/2019
O-889	Geneva Greens Apartments	Fair	10/8/2019
O-896	Monroe Estates	Good	10/10/2019
O-914	Thomas Court	Good	11/8/2019
O-917	Village at Brierwood II	Good	10/2/2019
O-924	Hermitage Senior Housing	Excellent	10/2/2019
O-932	Sunset Terrace Townhomes	Excellent	10/11/2019
O-934	Golden Triangle Apartments	Good	10/16/2019
O-937	Newport Hotel Senior Housing	Excellent	9/26/2019
O-939	Edinboro Family Homes	Good	5/22/2019
O-946	Indiana Family Housing	Good	5/3/2019
O-950	Tenny Street Apartments	Good	1/23/2019
O-954-PR	United Towers	Poor	11/14/2019
O-961	Marien Stadt Place	Excellent	5/17/2019
O-968	401 Hazle	Good	9/3/2019
O-969	Welsh Heights	Good	8/16/2019

PHFA #	PROJECT NAME	CONCLUSION	INSP DATE
O-989	Cannery Point	Good	3/26/2019
O-993	Ridge Avenue Senior Apartments	Excellent	4/30/2019
O-1001	Village at Laurelbrook Landing III	Excellent	9/13/2019
O-1003	Jessup Senior Housing	Good	7/17/2019
O-1019	Fox Hill Sr. Apts, II	Good	10/2/2019
O-1055	Oak Ridge Townhouses	Good	4/18/2019
O-1060	Parkside Manor	Excellent	2/27/2019
O-1074	Quaker Meadow	Excellent	9/27/2019
O-1077	Choconut Creek Apartments	Good	10/2/2019
O-1101	Glen Oaks Housing II	Excellent	2/8/2019
O-1103	Village at Brierwood III	Good	10/2/2019
O-1108	Fairview Family Homes	Excellent	10/9/2019
O-1109	Chambersburg Senior Housing	Good	7/12/2019
O-1122	Misty Ridge Terrace	Good	10/22/2019
O-1132	Opera House Senior Apartments	Good	2/19/2019
O-1139	Clover Hill Estates	Good	9/27/2019
O-1144	Lightner Greene	Excellent	8/13/2019
O-1145	Raystown Crossing	Fair	5/3/2019
O-1169	Valley View V	Good	5/29/2019
O-1176-PR	Historic Lafayette Apartments	Excellent	7/18/2019
O-1183-PR	Limekiln Manor	Good	2/15/2019
O-1192	Emerson Southgate (aka Hallstead)	Good	8/3/2019
O-1200	Orchard Hill II	Good	9/10/2019
O-1201	420 East Broad	Good	9/3/2019
O-1207	Kittanning Cottages	Excellent	7/10/2019
O-1213	Grace Place-Punxsutawney	Excellent	8/15/2019
O-1227	Ivy Ridge	Good	9/25/2019
O-1230	The Woodlands at Zuck Park	Good	6/5/2019
O-1236	Liberty Cottages	Excellent	5/2/2019
O-1241	Rosewood Apartments	Good	7/18/2019
O-1252	Fahnestock House	Good	6/7/2019
O-1254	Greenwood Gardens (aka Wellsboro Townhomes)	Good	8/28/2019
O-1256	Schoolhouse Hill Apartments	Good	5/28/2019
O-1258	Mann Edge Terrace	Good	10/4/2019
O-1259	Arneman Place	Excellent	10/18/2019
O-1260	Farrell Homes	Excellent	9/4/2019
O-1270	Village at Brierwood IV	Good	10/2/2019
O-1272	New Forge Crossing	Fair	8/23/2019
O-1273	Limerock Court	Good	11/5/2019
O-1274	Meadows at Tiffany Pines	Good	11/7/2019
O-1275	Highland Village	Good	6/19/2019
O-1280	St. Michaels on the Hill (Zaccaeus)	Good	2/13/2019
O-1281	Misty Ridge Terrace Twnhms. (PA Interfaith)	Good	10/22/2019
O-1282	Wayne Gardens (Valley Community Housing)	Excellent	3/29/2019
O-1283	Ridgmont Sr. Cottages	Excellent	9/18/2019
O-1284	Kreider Commons	Excellent	6/11/2019
O-1285	Gennaro Gardens (urban area but non-pj)	Good	4/10/2019
O-1287	Towanda Terrace (400 Main)	Good	11/12/2019

PHFA #	PROJECT NAME	CONCLUSION	INSP DATE
O-1288	Wyalusing Meadows	Good	9/18/2019
O-1289	Thompson Greene	Excellent	10/1/2019
O-1290	Bellefonte Mews	Good	9/4/2019
O-1291	Governor's Gate Apts.	Good	5/14/2019
O-1292	Bloom Mills	Fair	1/31/2019
O-1293	College View Apartments (Tishco)	Excellent	7/19/2019
O-1295	Independence Square	Good	6/7/2019
O-1296	Highland Hill	Good	7/19/2019
O-1298	Penn Commons	Excellent	4/3/2019
O-1299	Tioga View Apartments	Good	4/10/2019
O-1300	Crest Manor Preservation	Excellent	11/20/2019
O-1301	Willow Commons	Excellent	1/23/2019
O-1302	Washington Square Townhouses	Good	4/3/2019
O-1304	Highland Hall	Excellent	6/21/2019
O-1305	Brownsville Senior	Excellent	6/26/2019
O-1306	Gateway Commons	Good	8/20/2019
O-1307	Mann Edge II	Good	6/4/2019
O-1308	Reynolds West Estates	Excellent	8/28/2019
O-1309	Madison Court Apartments	Good	12/31/2019
O-1310	Hamlin Heights	Good	12/5/2019
O-1312	Hamilton Manor	Good	5/17/2019
O-1313	Academy II	Good	9/14/2019
O-1315	Evans Square	Excellent	3/3/2019
O-1316	LaSalle Blueprint Renewal	Excellent	11/13/2019
O-1317	Montgomery Park	Warranty	N/A
O-1318	Pleasant Pointe	Excellent	7/10/2019
Y-2078	East Brady Heights	Excellent	8/30/2019
O-1314	Cherry Street	Warranty	N/A
O-1322	Fallbrook	Construction	N/A
O-1326	Susquehannock	Construction	N/A
PHFA #	PROJECT NAME	CONCLUSION	INSP DATE
O-451	Garfield Court (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-515	Parkway II (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-642-202	Eagle Valley Apartments (202 deal) - Not Monitored	N/A	N/A
O-793	Benton Elderly (11 & under jobs inspected every 2 years)	N/A	N/A
O-1254	Greenwood Gardens (aka Wellsboro Townhomes)	Warranty	N/A
O-1275	Highland Village	Warranty	N/A
TC2012-622	Meadows at Tiffany Pines	Warranty	N/A
O-1282	Wayne Gardens	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1280	St. Michaels on the Hill	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1281	Misty Ridge Terrace Twnhms.	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1287	Towanda Terrace (400 Main)	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1284	Kreider Commons	Not Closed Yet	N/A
O-1283	Ridgmont Sr. Cottages	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1285	Gennaro Gardens	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1291	Governor's Gate Apts.	Construction	Bi-Weekly
O-1272	New Forge Crossing	Warranty	N/A

PHFA #	PROJECT NAME	CONCLUSION	INSP DATE
Poor		Life safety items observed; extremely inadequate maintenance practices; extreme domestic management	
Fair		Deferred maintenance observed as the norm; inadequate maintenance practices; domestic management	
Good		Adequate maintenance practices; no glaring deficiencies; minimal-to-no-domestic management issues	
Excellent		Only minimal (cosmetic) deficiencies observed; exceptional maintenance practices in place	
Warranty		In one-year post construction warranty period. Warranty inspections performed every 90 days during that ti	
Construction		Project still in construction phase. Minimum bi-weekly visits performed during this period.	

APPENDIX C

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

HOPWA

Barriers, actions taken in response to barriers, and recommendations are noted below. A synopsis is presented by category; specific regions are cited if the barrier was not universal among the seven regions.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

HOPWA Barriers

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HOPWA/HUD Regulations	<input type="checkbox"/> Planning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Availability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rent Determination and Fair Market Rent (FMR)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discrimination/Confidentiality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multiple Diagnoses	<input type="checkbox"/> Eligibility	<input type="checkbox"/> Technical Assistance or Training
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supportive Services	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rental History	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Criminal Justice History
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing Affordability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geography/Rural Access	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain further	

Regional grantees have historically cited affordable, accessible, and non-discriminatory housing as a priority need of people living with HIV/AIDS in Pennsylvania. The majority of individuals served with HOPWA funding are living at or below the poverty level, are Medicaid eligible, and receive other health and human services. Because people living with HIV/AIDS are increasingly poorer and are living longer, their needs continue to shift from services related to dying and death to longer-term basic needs such as housing. In Pennsylvania's rural communities, safe and affordable housing is a rare commodity. There is little incentive for landlords to improve housing quality. Additionally, because of societal stigma, people living with HIV disease are reluctant to disclose their status in rural communities. The housing of people living with HIV/AIDS is increasingly an issue of poverty, mental health and substance abuse.

HOPWA/HUD Regulations

Some clients continue to not meet required HUD guidelines/regulations. Clients in the TBRA housing program have difficulty maintaining the necessary documentation for reapplication/adherence. Case managers spend a large amount of time with follow up and reminder phone calls. Housing Case manager educates clients in clear expectations and explains responsibilities and adherence to the policies. Clients are given a copy of program policies and requirements.

Several counties are very rural and the housing services like Section 8 have very limited funds. There is also a tendency for people in rural areas to live in the same house and area for years, even decades. That means they remain with the Section 8 and other public assistance programs for many years and thus no new clients and their families can be added to the Section 8 program. The Section 8 and other public housing assistance programs in many counties are currently closed to adding clients to the waiting list and in some cases have not been open in two or more years. Clients are encouraged to call housing authorities frequently to inquire about the opening of the Section 8 housing waiting list.

Once in housing, issues related to adherence to the guidelines, accurate reporting of income, and timely payment of resident rent presents challenges. Case Managers work with clients to explain the program guidelines and what can happen if clients do not adhere to the guidelines.

Discrimination/Confidentiality

Some landlords are skeptical of who and why rent is being paid on a client's behalf. Agencies must maintain confidentiality about client's status to landlords questioning the type of disability a client may suffer. Property owners are assured of payment by agencies addressing any housing issues that may arise to maintain a reliable and trusting relationship with each property owner. Case management staff work closely with landlords to assure them of monthly payments and establish trusting relationships while keeping the confidentiality of each client.

Supportive Services

North East Region –

There are long wait lists to access mental health and drug/alcohol treatment services for clients. An inability to access supportive services often negatively affects a client's ability to maintain permanent stable housing when other needs are not being adequately addressed and met. Some clients have difficulty maintaining their apartment, create disturbances thus property owners seek removal of these clients.

The Housing Coordinator has regular contact with clients to assess their housing goal progress to develop an environment of support toward goal attainment. Clients are encouraged to actively participate with medical case management services to ensure their medical and other needs are being addressed in a timely manner. UWWV staff have regular contact with MCM staff so each housing plan and Care Plan is well coordinated to assure the entire Treatment Team functions in a similar manner by encouraging goal attainment.

Housing Affordability

Rent and utility costs continue to rise and are directly related to increased landlord costs. Rents are lower in high crime areas, so finding units in safe neighborhoods that meet FMR guidelines are challenging. Case managers continue to work with the landlords to reduce rents to qualify the client for tenant based rental assistance. Clients are also referred to housing coordinators who work with select groups of landlords who offer lower income housing, thus enabling the clients to contact landlords who may have units that meet their financial needs. Case managers have a list of preferred landlords, many of which will contact the case managers when housing becomes available.

AIDSNET Region

The city of Reading continues to have a severe lack of subsidized/affordable housing. Affordable housing stocks is low and decreased due to restrictions applied to the creation of new housing units. The poverty level of Reading remains high, therefore, creating stiff competition for affordable housing. The Reading Section 8 Housing application process opened for 8 days in July 2019, Reading's Public Housing Application process opened for one week February 2019, Hope Rescue Mission planning to open shelter for homeless women and children which could expand to 40 rooms, Reading's Planning Commission approved a 90-day extension to the projects review time frame Reading recently installed a new mayor who is the first Hispanic to hold that office. More affordable housing for Reading's low-income residents is one of his priorities.

Multiple Diagnosed Issues

There are several clients who have a co-occurring mental health diagnosis, which can make it difficult for them adhere to the eligibility requirements of HOPWA, such as providing income documentation and other information. Some of these clients have co-occurring substance abuse and mental health disorders and traumatic life events that interfere with clients' ability to sustain stable housing. These significant illnesses or life events interfere with clients' ability to establish steady financial plans, spending habits or maintain steady employment and advocate for themselves with landlords. Diagnoses of addiction disorders cause even more barriers, because clients are often in and out of rehab and treatment facilities. Clients with addiction disorders, are at risk of relapsing, jail time, facing stigma from landlords, and potentially engaging in unsafe behaviors. Case managers work with these clients to include visiting their homes to help with these issues and refer them to mental health and addiction resources in their communities.

Local services to treat these problems are often fragmented and require clients to attend multiple appointments each month. Clients with substance abuse, mental health, domestic violence and/or legal problems would likely have numerous appointments each month at agencies specializing in these problems which further interferes with life stability. These multiple appointments are a disincentive and easily stress clients' abilities to organize a successful life strategy. Clients with co-occurring or multiple diagnoses are encouraged to seek local assistance. The major influencing factor to successful guidance and recovery is the establishment of a positive supportive, trusting relationship between the case manager and the client.

Credit History

Clients with poor credit histories are not able to get into preferred housing in safe, desirable areas as well as turn on utilities. Credible landlords require a credit check; therefore, renters with low-income and low or no credit scores are typically not eligible for housing in safe, clean, desirable areas. Utility companies will require a deposit for clients with bad histories / low credit scores which often disqualify a client from getting into a unit of choice. Case managers work with utility companies and landlords to connect clients to all available options to turn on utilities/get the client into housing: security deposit assistance, forgiveness programs, budget programs, budget counseling throughout the year to encourage clients to pay bills on time and eliminate arrearages.

Case managers have worked with clients on budgeting including checking credit reports and assisting with plans to pay off older bills that may be affecting their credit. Clients are also referred to credit counseling agencies as needed. Case managers work with landlords to accept clients with poor credit history and then work with the clients for the timely payment of rent. They often advocate for client's and agree to provide assistance in resolving issues. Case managers emphasize to clients the importance of paying bills on time and/or buying items on credit to establish a positive credit history.

Geography/Rural Access

It's a challenge for clients in rural areas to access care due to transportation issues. With limited public transportation in many counties, care and employment opportunities are impacted. Many clients cannot afford their own vehicles. The lack of available services within the rural portions of the state limit client's access to care. Case managers do their best to work with clients on overcoming

transportation issues, through leveraged funding using the Ryan White Part B / Rebate funding for medical transportation services, multi-use bus pass or agencies pay UBER/LYFT to support client's attendance to services.

Housing Availability

Many communities have a lack of affordable, quality housing available for rent, and it also goes hand in hand with housing affordability. There are not enough housing options that are available. Case managers notify clients when eligible units are listed. They continue to work with preferred landlords to notify clients of available rental units prior to advertising to the public. These preferred landlords are willing to work with case managers since HOPWA clients rent is paid on time each month and thus some rents are reduced or include utilities so that a unit meets the FMR. Case managers also work with other agencies in the community that might either have housing or know of housing venues. They continuously follow up with local programs for low income housing assistance, status of public housing waiting lists, transitional housing programs to meet specific client needs.

Clarion University - North West Region

The refugee population in Erie continues to grow. Finding housing for large families is very difficult. The medical case managers focus more on budgeting and continue to seek out other community resources. The goal is to help clients live within their monthly household income without going into crisis situations.

Rental History

Many clients have a poor rental history including late rental payments, evictions, and broken lease agreements that affect their ability to complete for affordable, stable, and decent housing. Landlords are reluctant to rent to those with a poor rental history. Thus, this poses significant challenges to case managers who need to spend additional time with landlords to obtain housing for these clients. The case managers continue to identify landlords that will accept negative rental histories and assist clients with budgeting and financial education to help prevent future rental issues. The case managers also work on budgeting with the clients. If the client cannot access other resources to pay an outstanding bill, leveraged funding is used. Clients are referred to free budgeting classes along with credit counseling.

Rent Determination and Fair Market Rent (FMR)

Fewer rental units are available because rent and utilities increase. Case managers spend a lot of time trying to locate FMR eligible units. They also work with landlords to decrease rents and to include utilities in the rent amount. Some landlords are not willing to lower the amount of rent and prefer the rental unit vacant. Many times, it takes a great deal of negotiation to have the landlord adjust and meet the guidelines in rents, utilities and inspection requests. Case managers continuously work with landlords to build trusting relationships so that they are more willing to work with the low-income population and more willing to reduce rent and/or include the utilities in the monthly rent amount.

Criminal Justice History

Many clients with criminal histories have difficulty locating stable safe affordable housing that supports successful reintegration to the community. These clients are often rejected for housing assistance

(Section 8, and subsidized housing projects) and frequently ineligible for many public housing / HUD services. Many private property owners may be reluctant to rent apartments to people with criminal histories. Clients recently released from county jails or State Correctional Facilities have extreme difficulty finding suitable housing and may be forced to stay in rooming houses until suitable housing is located. Many of these rooming houses do not provide the best environment for people to successfully re-enter society after a period of incarceration. Case managers spend additional time working with clients with criminal histories to secure housing. Case management staff also seek property owners who are known to be accepting of tenants with compromised legal histories if agency staff assure rent payments.

Other – Language

Clarion University – North West Region

There is a language barrier for non-English speaking immigrant/refugee population of clients and their landlords particularly in the city of Erie. Translation services are offered as often as needed for these clients. Communication breaks down with issues that come up at their rental units. Problems have occurred with teaching clients how to use appliances and when to contact the landlord. There is potential miscommunication between landlord, staff and client. The landlord may be unaware of any issues because the client is hesitant to go to the landlord due to language barrier. Use of interpretation services during interaction with the staff, client and landlord.

This population is estimated at 20,000. Refugees (many of whom come from refugee camps) apply to leave their country and go to another country. They go through an intensive screening process in the camps through The United Nations High Commission for Refugees (UNHCR). The refugees are interviewed and have physical checkups completed. If approved, it can take years before accepted in another country. Immigrants go through a similar approval process. Those that are accepted, fly to America. Their ticket is paid for, but the individual is required to pay that amount back. Erie has several resettlement organizations The International Institute, Catholic Social Charities, and The Multi-Cultural Resource Center to assist this population to transition to life in the US. Erie has been a very open, friendly city with lots of support and opportunities for this population over the years.

Once the immigrant/refugee arrives in America, they receive support for housing for three months. During these three months, the supporting organizations' goals are to help the individual(s) with housing, employment, education, English lessons, etc. After three months of receiving housing, the client is expected to continue their housing on their own or find suitable housing. For those that qualify for services, sometimes there is a struggle to find housing. Some of these households are extended with large families. Finding suitable housing for larger households has been difficult. Availability of 4-6-bedroom units are difficult to locate. Also, some landlords are unwilling to rent to tenants that speak no or little English.

Other – Income Requirement by Landlords

South East Region – Bucks County

Some of the landlords are requiring a potential renter to have 2-3 times more income than the cost of monthly rent, even with a subsidy. Many of the participants are unemployed or underemployed due to their health. Others have filed an appeal for Social Security benefits. Those who can work, do work but it may not be enough to meet the requirements of some of the apartment complexes. Even landlords

who are familiar with the provider office have a good relationship are unable to make exceptions due to the larger corporate owners who have taken over many of the smaller complex buildings in Bucks County. Staff continue to work closely with landlords to make exceptions for the clients because of on-time monthly payments to the landlord.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Increase the FMR and HPS standards that were reduced in 2020 to reflect the local areas rents and utility expenses.
- Several Section 8 Voucher programs in Pennsylvania are closed. Open the Section 8 Voucher program to assist clients in need of permanent housing.
- Increase the number of HUD units to serve clients living with HIV/AIDS.

APPENDIX D

No citizen comments were received during the citizen comment period.

Notice
Department of Community and Economic Development
Availability of Draft
2019 Consolidated Plan Annual Performance and Evaluation Report

The Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED) is preparing its 2019 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) on the progress and accomplishments made during the 2019 program year in implementing the Commonwealth's Consolidated Plan for Federal Fiscal Years 2019-2023. This document must be submitted to, and approved by, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for the Commonwealth and organizations within the Commonwealth in order to receive funding under most HUD housing and community development programs. The Consolidated Plan creates a unified strategy for housing, homelessness and community development programs, as well as the necessary linkages for building successful neighborhoods and communities.

The Commonwealth has allocated funds under several programs, namely Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG), Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS Program (HOPWA), Housing Trust Fund (HTF). Additionally, this CAPER will detail funding from 2019 under the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) and the Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery Program (CDBG-DR). The CAPER discusses accomplishments in relation to goals and objectives identified in the 2019 Action Plan of the Consolidated Plan.

The CAPER assesses the goals and objectives of this strategic plan; discusses how the Commonwealth is affirmatively furthering fair and affordable housing; reviews the activities of the Continuums of Care (CoCs); and appraises how well resources in community development, homelessness and housing are being leveraged.

Public Comments

Any individual or organization may provide written comments regarding this draft version of the CAPER. This report will be available on March 9, 2020 at <http://dced.pa.gov> or by calling (717) 720-7404. Written comments will be accepted about the CAPER content, and the process by which public input is gathered. The Commonwealth encourages public participation in this process.

Persons with a disability or limited English proficiency, who wish to comment and requires an auxiliary aid, service, or other accommodation to participate, should contact **Megan Snyder**, Department of Community and Economic Development, Center for Community and Housing Development, Commonwealth Keystone Building, 400 North Street, 4th Floor, Harrisburg, PA 17120-0225, **717-720-7404** or **TDD – 717-346-0308**, to discuss how the Department may best accommodate their needs.

Written Comments will be accepted until 4 p.m. on March 26, 2020, and should be sent to Megan L. Snyder, Department of Community and Economic Development, Center for Community and Housing Development, Commonwealth Keystone Building, 400 North Street, 4th Floor, Harrisburg, PA 17120-0225 or e-mailed to RA-DCEDcdbghomequestions@pa.gov. Submission to HUD should be on or near March 27, 2020.

Dennis M. Davin
Secretary

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B00DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$57,980,000.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$57,980,000.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$57,745,375.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$57,745,375.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$597,303.96
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$597,303.96
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$562,296.04
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$562,296.04
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$490,133.26
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$597,303.96
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$597,303.96
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$562,296.04
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$562,296.04
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$0.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$56,820,400.00
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$56,820,400.00

D.	Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]	Grant Number B00DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$766,104.23
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$766,104.23
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$57,980,000.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$57,980,000.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.32%
E.	Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)(3)]	
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$8,990,629.25
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$8,990,629.25
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$57,980,000.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$57,980,000.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.51%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$597,303.96
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$57,980,000.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	1.03%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2000
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	YES
		2000
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	46,754,769.07
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	46,754,769.07
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,532,600.14
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,532,600.14
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	139,705.50
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	139,705.50
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	138,026.84
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	48,565,101.55
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.96
78)	Other Disbursements	
79)	State Administration	597,303.96
80)	Technical Assistance	562,296.04
81)	Local Administration	8,393,325.29
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B01DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$60,356,691.34
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$60,356,691.34
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$59,260,935.34
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$59,260,935.34
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$1,181,515.94
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$1,181,515.94
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$1,592.00
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$1,592.00
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$1,081,515.94
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$1,181,515.94
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$1,181,515.94
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$1,592.00
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$1,592.00
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$360,240.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$360,240.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$58,813,343.40
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$58,813,343.40

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B01DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$679,542.51
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$679,542.51
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$60,356,691.34
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$60,356,691.34
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.13%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$9,562,727.46
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$9,562,727.46
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$60,356,691.34
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$60,356,691.34
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.84%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$111,384.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$60,356,691.34
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.18%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2001
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	YES
		2001
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	48,571,200.28
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	48,571,200.28
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,826,989.60
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,826,989.60
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	47,986.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	47,986.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	89,784.20
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	50,535,960.08
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.96
78)	Other Disbursements	
79)	State Administration	1,181,515.94
80)	Technical Assistance	1,592.00
81)	Local Administration	8,381,211.52
82)	Section 108 repayments	360,240.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B02DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$58,244,995.80
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$58,244,995.80
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$57,203,205.89
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$57,203,205.89
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$1,062,413.83
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$1,062,413.83
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$102,486.17
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$102,486.17
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$962,413.83
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$1,062,413.83
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$1,062,413.83
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$102,486.17
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$102,486.17
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$540,998.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$540,998.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$56,699,947.80
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$56,699,947.80

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]	Grant Number B02DC420001
41) Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$992,428.86
42) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43) Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$992,428.86
44) Amount subject to PS cap	
45) State Allocation (line 1)	\$58,244,995.80
46) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47) Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48) Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$58,244,995.80
49) Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.70%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)	
50) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$9,315,805.43
51) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52) Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$9,315,805.43
53) Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54) State Allocation (line 1)	\$58,244,995.80
55) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56) Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57) Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$58,244,995.80
58) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.99%
59) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$160,850.00
60) Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61) State Allocation	\$58,244,995.80
62) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.28%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit	
63) Period specified for benefit: grant years	2002
64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	YES
	2002
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	46,491,856.15
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	46,491,856.15
69) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,857,138.69
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,857,138.69
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	97,561.36
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	97,561.36
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	11,442.50
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	48,457,998.70
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.96
74) Other Disbursements	
79) State Administration	1,062,413.83
80) Technical Assistance	102,486.17
81) Local Administration	8,253,391.60
82) Section 108 repayments	540,998.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B03DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$58,854,000.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$58,854,000.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$57,676,920.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$57,676,920.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$685,987.92
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$685,987.92
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$491,092.08
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$491,092.08
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$585,987.88
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$685,987.92
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$685,987.92
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$491,092.08
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$491,092.08
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$536,042.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$536,042.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$57,140,878.00
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$57,140,878.00

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B03DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$1,448,028.64
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$1,448,028.64
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$58,854,000.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$58,854,000.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	2.46%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$9,040,026.96
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$9,040,026.96
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$58,854,000.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$58,854,000.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.36%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$58,854,000.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2003
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	YES
		2003
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	46,362,246.65
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	46,362,246.65
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	2,352,061.23
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	2,352,061.23
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	107,531.08
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	107,531.08
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	8,092.49
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	48,829,931.45
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
78)	Other Disbursements	
79)	State Administration	685,987.92
80)	Technical Assistance	491,092.08
81)	Local Administration	8,354,039.04
82)	Section 108 repayments	536,042.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B04DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$59,983,924.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$59,983,924.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$58,775,364.62
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$58,775,364.62
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$889,989.22
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$889,989.22
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$309,688.78
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$309,688.78
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$789,989.23
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$889,989.22
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$889,989.22
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$309,688.78
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$309,688.78
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$235,000.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$235,000.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$58,540,364.62
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$58,540,364.62

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]	Grant Number B04DC420001
41) Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$987,931.61
42) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43) Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$987,931.61
44) Amount subject to PS cap	
45) State Allocation (line 1)	\$59,983,924.00
46) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47) Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48) Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$59,983,924.00
49) Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.65%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)	
50) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$9,485,073.22
51) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52) Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$9,485,073.22
53) Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54) State Allocation (line 1)	\$59,983,924.00
55) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56) Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57) Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$59,983,924.00
58) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.81%
59) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60) Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61) State Allocation	\$59,983,924.00
62) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit	
63) Period specified for benefit: grant years	2004
64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
	2004
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	47,186,977.94
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	47,186,977.94
69) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	2,758,187.68
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	2,758,187.68
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	115.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	115.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	74,932.08
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	50,020,212.70
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.94
74) Other Disbursements	1.00
79) State Administration	889,989.22
80) Technical Assistance	309,688.78
81) Local Administration	8,595,084.00
82) Section 108 repayments	235,000.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B05DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$55,485,726.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$55,485,726.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$54,376,011.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$54,376,011.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$751,067.43
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$751,067.43
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$358,647.57
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$358,647.57
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$646,871.11
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$751,067.43
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$751,067.43
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$358,647.57
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$358,647.57
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$225,000.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$225,000.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$54,151,011.00
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$54,151,011.00

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B05DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$573,276.85
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$573,276.85
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$55,485,726.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$55,485,726.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.03%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$8,989,207.69
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$8,989,207.69
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$55,485,726.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$55,485,726.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.20%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$55,485,726.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2005
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2005
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	44,046,310.47
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	44,046,310.47
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,866,560.27
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,866,560.27
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	19,360.06
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	45,932,230.80
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.96
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	751,067.43
80)	Technical Assistance	358,647.57
81)	Local Administration	8,238,140.26
82)	Section 108 repayments	225,000.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B06DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$50,081,103.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$50,081,103.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$49,079,481.00
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$49,079,481.00
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$681,175.59
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$681,175.59
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$320,446.41
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$320,446.41
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$581,175.59
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$681,175.59
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$681,175.59
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$320,446.41
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$320,446.41
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$204,900.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$204,900.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$48,874,581.00
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$48,874,581.00

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B06DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$830,134.73
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$830,134.73
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$50,081,103.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$50,081,103.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.66%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$8,218,939.35
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$8,218,939.35
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$50,081,103.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$50,081,103.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.41%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$50,081,103.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2006
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2006
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	39,401,479.49
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	39,401,479.49
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,915,865.18
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,915,865.18
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	19,472.57
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	19,472.57
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	41,336,817.24
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
78)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	681,175.59
80)	Technical Assistance	320,446.41
81)	Local Administration	7,537,763.76
82)	Section 108 repayments	204,900.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B07DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$47,170,419.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$47,170,419.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$46,246,641.50
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$46,246,641.50
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$580,651.31
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$580,651.31
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$362,756.69
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$362,756.69
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$480,651.31
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$580,651.31
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$580,651.31
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$362,756.69
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$362,756.69
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$227,491.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$227,491.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$46,019,150.50
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$46,019,150.50

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]	Grant Number B07DC420001
41) Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$695,975.35
42) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43) Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$695,975.35
44) Amount subject to PS cap	
45) State Allocation (line 1)	\$47,170,419.00
46) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47) Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48) Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$47,170,419.00
49) Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.48%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)	
50) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$7,461,378.16
51) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52) Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$7,461,378.16
53) Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54) State Allocation (line 1)	\$47,170,419.00
55) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56) Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57) Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$47,170,419.00
58) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.82%
59) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60) Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61) State Allocation	\$47,170,419.00
62) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit	
63) Period specified for benefit: grant years	2007
64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
	2007
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	37,175,621.07
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	37,175,621.07
69) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,956,802.58
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,956,802.58
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	6,000.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	6,000.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	39,138,423.65
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
74) Other Disbursements	1.00
79) State Administration	580,651.31
80) Technical Assistance	362,756.69
81) Local Administration	6,880,726.85
82) Section 108 repayments	227,491.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B08DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$45,834,505.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$45,834,505.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$44,910,186.58
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$44,910,186.58
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$633,869.46
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$633,869.46
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$282,820.54
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$282,820.54
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$533,869.46
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$633,869.46
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$633,869.46
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$282,820.54
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$282,820.54
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$386,924.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$386,924.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$44,523,262.58
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$44,523,262.58

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]	Grant Number B08DC420001
41) Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$476,828.05
42) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43) Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$476,828.05
44) Amount subject to PS cap	
45) State Allocation (line 1)	\$45,834,505.00
46) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47) Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48) Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$45,834,505.00
49) Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.04%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)	
50) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$7,560,971.83
51) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52) Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$7,560,971.83
53) Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54) State Allocation (line 1)	\$45,834,505.00
55) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56) Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57) Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$45,834,505.00
58) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.50%
59) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60) Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61) State Allocation	\$45,834,505.00
62) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit	
63) Period specified for benefit: grant years	2008
64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
	2008
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	35,433,237.43
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments	0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	35,433,237.43
69) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	2,162,922.78
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	2,162,922.78
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	376,215.04
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	37,972,375.25
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.93
74) Other Disbursements	1.00
79) State Administration	633,869.46
80) Technical Assistance	282,820.54
81) Local Administration	6,927,102.37
82) Section 108 repayments	386,924.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B09DC420001
1)	State Allocation	\$46,555,566.00
2)	Program Income	
3)	Program income received in IDIS	\$0.00
3 a)	Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)	\$0.00
4)	Adjustment to compute total program income	\$0.00
5)	Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)	\$0.00
6)	Section 108 Loan Funds	\$0.00
7)	Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)	\$46,555,566.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8)	State Allocation	
9)	Obligated to recipients	\$45,624,709.30
10)	Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients	\$0.00
11)	Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)	\$45,624,709.30
12)	Set aside for State Administration	\$517,763.69
13)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration	\$0.00
14)	Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)	\$517,763.69
15)	Set aside for Technical Assistance	\$413,347.31
16)	Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
17)	Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)	\$413,347.31
18)	State funds set aside for State Administration match	\$417,763.69
19)	Program Income	
20)	Returned to the state and redistributed	
20 a)	Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment	
21)	Adjustment to compute total redistributed	\$0.00
22)	Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)	\$0.00
23)	Returned to the state and not yet redistributed	\$0.00
23 a)	Section 108 program income not yet disbursed	\$0.00
24)	Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed	\$0.00
25)	Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)	\$0.00
26)	Retained by recipients	\$0.00
27)	Adjustment to compute total retained	\$0.00
28)	Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)	\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29)	Drawn for State Administration (21J)	\$517,763.69
30)	Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration	\$0.00
31)	Total drawn for State Administration	\$517,763.69
32)	Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)	\$413,347.31
33)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance	\$0.00
34)	Total drawn for Technical Assistance	\$413,347.31
35)	Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)	\$537,360.00
36)	Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$0.00
37)	Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments	\$537,360.00
38)	Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)	\$45,087,349.30
39)	Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities	\$0.00
40)	Total drawn for all other activities	\$45,087,349.30

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B09DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$483,988.55
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$483,988.55
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$46,555,566.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$46,555,566.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	1.04%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$7,618,826.08
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$7,618,826.08
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$46,555,566.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$46,555,566.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.37%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$46,555,566.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2009
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2009
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	35,814,025.47
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	35,814,025.47
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	2,168,261.44
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	2,168,261.44
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	4,000.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	4,000.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	138,737.36
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	38,125,024.27
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.94
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	517,763.69
80)	Technical Assistance	413,347.31
81)	Local Administration	7,101,062.39
82)	Section 108 repayments	537,360.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B10DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$50,476,616.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$50,476,616.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$49,470,855.18
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$49,470,855.18
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$638,190.23
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$638,190.23
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$371,341.77
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$371,341.77
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$538,190.23
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$638,190.23
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$638,190.23
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$371,341.77
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$371,341.77
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$572,958.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$572,958.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$48,889,788.13
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$48,889,788.13

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B10DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$382,226.96
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$382,226.96
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$50,476,616.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$50,476,616.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.76%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$8,228,260.05
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$8,228,260.05
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$50,476,616.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$50,476,616.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.30%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$50,476,616.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2010
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2010
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	39,047,597.04
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	39,047,597.04
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	2,206,044.40
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	2,206,044.40
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	46,076.87
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	46,076.87
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	41,299,718.31
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	638,190.23
80)	Technical Assistance	371,341.77
81)	Local Administration	7,590,069.82
82)	Section 108 repayments	572,958.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B11DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$42,283,867.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$42,283,867.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$41,486,801.82
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$41,486,801.82
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$763,759.23
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$763,759.23
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$81,917.77
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$81,917.77
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$663,759.23
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$763,759.23
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$763,759.23
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$81,917.77
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$81,917.77
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$487,354.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$487,354.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$40,897,289.82
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$40,897,289.82

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B11DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$189,132.75
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$189,132.75
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$42,283,867.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$42,283,867.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.45%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$7,015,704.00
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$7,015,704.00
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$42,283,867.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$42,283,867.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.59%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$42,283,867.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2011
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2011
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	33,022,347.14
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	33,022,347.14
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,622,997.91
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,622,997.91
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	34,645,345.05
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	763,759.23
80)	Technical Assistance	81,917.77
81)	Local Administration	6,251,944.77
82)	Section 108 repayments	487,354.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B12DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$37,539,123.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$37,539,123.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$36,561,327.54
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$36,561,327.54
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$740,782.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$740,782.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$10,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$10,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$640,782.00
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$740,782.00
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$740,782.00
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$10,000.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$10,000.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$262,131.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$262,131.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$35,773,854.72
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$35,773,854.72

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B12DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$185,105.57
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$185,105.57
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$37,539,123.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$37,539,123.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.49%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$6,302,423.15
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$6,302,423.15
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$37,539,123.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$37,539,123.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.79%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$955.05
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$37,539,123.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2012
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2012
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	28,618,918.57
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	28,618,918.57
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,593,295.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,593,295.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	30,212,213.57
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	740,782.00
80)	Technical Assistance	10,000.00
81)	Local Administration	5,561,641.15
82)	Section 108 repayments	262,131.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B13DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$38,870,107.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$377,181.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$377,181.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$39,247,288.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$38,049,644.21
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$38,049,644.21
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$653,126.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$653,126.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$100,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$100,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$553,126.00
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$653,126.00
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$653,126.00
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$100,000.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$100,000.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$256,222.31
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$256,222.31
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$37,489,648.63
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$37,489,648.63

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B13DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$219,271.09
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$219,271.09
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$38,870,107.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$377,181.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$39,247,288.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.56%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$6,554,873.84
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$6,554,873.84
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$38,870,107.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$377,181.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$39,247,288.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.70%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$2,206.55
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$38,870,107.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.01%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2013
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2013
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	29,889,013.75
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	29,889,013.75
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,698,887.04
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,698,887.04
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	31,587,900.79
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	653,126.00
80)	Technical Assistance	100,000.00
81)	Local Administration	5,901,747.84
82)	Section 108 repayments	256,222.31

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B14DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$38,158,835.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$38,158,835.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$37,405,619.03
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$37,405,619.03
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$646,345.03
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$646,345.03
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$116,830.97
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$116,830.97
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$413,176.00
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$513,176.00
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$513,176.00
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$58,305.97
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$58,305.97
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$224,186.59
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$224,186.59
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$35,770,411.61
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$35,770,411.61

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B14DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$249,408.70
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$249,408.70
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$38,158,835.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$38,158,835.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.65%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$6,067,971.08
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$6,067,971.08
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$38,158,835.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$38,158,835.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	15.90%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$38,158,835.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2014
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2014
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	28,819,412.66
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	28,819,412.66
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,396,203.87
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,396,203.87
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	16,220.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	30,231,836.53
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.95
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	513,176.00
80)	Technical Assistance	58,305.97
81)	Local Administration	5,554,795.08
82)	Section 108 repayments	224,186.59

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B15DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$36,248,458.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$243,333.10
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$243,333.10
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$36,491,791.10
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$35,387,198.23
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$35,387,198.23
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$674,966.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$674,966.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$50,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$50,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$574,966.00
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$674,966.00
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$674,966.00
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$0.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$240,655.60
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$240,655.60
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$32,274,587.22
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$32,274,587.22

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B15DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$113,300.62
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$113,300.62
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$36,248,458.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$243,333.10
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$36,491,791.10
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.31%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$5,988,623.38
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$5,988,623.38
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$36,248,458.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$243,333.10
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$36,491,791.10
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	16.41%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$5,493.19
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$36,248,458.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.02%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2015
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2015
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	25,386,455.55
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	25,386,455.55
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,574,474.29
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,574,474.29
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	26,960,929.84
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.94
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	674,966.00
80)	Technical Assistance	0.00
81)	Local Administration	5,313,657.38
82)	Section 108 repayments	240,655.60

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B16DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$37,464,741.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$37,464,741.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$35,581,717.68
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$35,581,717.68
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$699,295.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$699,295.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$50,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$50,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$776,971.59
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$699,295.00
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$699,295.00
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$0.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$240,153.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$240,153.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$23,952,960.07
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$23,952,960.07

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B16DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$110,073.70
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$110,073.70
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$37,464,741.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$37,464,741.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.29%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$4,936,383.48
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$4,936,383.48
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$37,464,741.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$37,464,741.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	13.18%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$37,464,741.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2016
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2016
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	18,351,924.06
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	18,351,924.06
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	1,363,947.53
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	1,363,947.53
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	19,715,871.59
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.93
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	699,295.00
80)	Technical Assistance	0.00
81)	Local Administration	4,237,088.48
82)	Section 108 repayments	240,153.00

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B17DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$37,005,942.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$26,292.61
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$26,292.61
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$37,032,234.61
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$35,912,377.27
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$35,912,377.27
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$640,111.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$640,111.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$100,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$100,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$540,111.00
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$26,292.61
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$26,292.61
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$639,719.82
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$639,719.82
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$0.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$100,204.36
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$100,204.36
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$14,584,567.11
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$14,584,567.11

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]	Grant Number B17DC420001
41) Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$116,873.67
42) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43) Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$116,873.67
44) Amount subject to PS cap	
45) State Allocation (line 1)	\$37,005,942.00
46) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$26,292.61
47) Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48) Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$37,032,234.61
49) Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.32%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)	
50) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$3,020,191.03
51) Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52) Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$3,020,191.03
53) Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54) State Allocation (line 1)	\$37,005,942.00
55) Program Income Received (line 5)	\$26,292.61
56) Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57) Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$37,032,234.61
58) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	8.16%
59) Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60) Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61) State Allocation	\$37,005,942.00
62) Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit	
63) Period specified for benefit: grant years	2017
64) Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
	2017
65) Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	11,320,193.21
66) Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67) Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68) Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	11,320,193.21
69) Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	872,164.03
70) Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71) Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	872,164.03
72) Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73) Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74) Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75) Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76) Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	12,192,357.24
77) Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.93
74) Other Disbursements	1.00
79) State Administration	639,719.82
80) Technical Assistance	0.00
81) Local Administration	2,380,471.21
82) Section 108 repayments	100,204.36

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B18DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$40,661,628.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$187,684.99
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$187,684.99
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$40,849,312.99
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$37,198,358.75
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$37,198,358.75
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$790,628.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$790,628.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$50,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$50,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$674,965.07
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$187,684.99
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$187,684.99
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$689,011.14
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$689,011.14
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$0.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$69,179.17
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$69,179.17
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$4,541,352.51
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$4,541,352.51

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B18DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$39,161.30
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$39,161.30
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$40,661,628.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$187,684.99
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$40,849,312.99
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.10%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$1,331,470.03
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$1,331,470.03
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$40,661,628.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$187,684.99
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$40,849,312.99
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	3.26%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$40,661,628.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2018
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2018
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	3,463,983.32
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	3,463,983.32
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	283,181.65
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	283,181.65
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	3,747,164.97
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	0.92
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	689,011.14
80)	Technical Assistance	0.00
81)	Local Administration	642,458.89
82)	Section 108 repayments	69,179.17

Part I: Financial Status		
A. Sources of State CDBG Funds		Grant Number B19DC420001
1) State Allocation		\$39,785,393.00
2) Program Income		
3) Program income received in IDIS		\$0.00
3 a) Program income received from Section 108 Projects (for SI type)		\$0.00
4) Adjustment to compute total program income		\$0.00
5) Total program income (sum of lines 3 and 4)		\$0.00
6) Section 108 Loan Funds		\$0.00
7) Total State CDBG Resources (sum of lines 1,5 and 6)		\$39,785,393.00
B. State CDBG Resources by Use		
8) State Allocation		
9) Obligated to recipients		\$1,010,341.36
10) Adjustment to compute total obligated to recipients		\$0.00
11) Total obligated to recipients (sum of lines 9 and 10)		\$1,010,341.36
12) Set aside for State Administration		\$1,243,561.00
13) Adjustment to compute total set aside for State Administration		\$0.00
14) Total set aside for State Administration (sum of lines 12 and 13)		\$1,243,561.00
15) Set aside for Technical Assistance		\$50,000.00
16) Adjustment to compute total set aside for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
17) Total set aside for Technical Assistance (sum of lines 15 and 16)		\$50,000.00
18) State funds set aside for State Administration match		\$159,121.22
19) Program Income		
20) Returned to the state and redistributed		
20 a) Section 108 program income expended for the Section 108 repayment		
21) Adjustment to compute total redistributed		\$0.00
22) Total redistributed (sum of lines 20 and 21)		\$0.00
23) Returned to the state and not yet redistributed		\$0.00
23 a) Section 108 program income not yet disbursed		\$0.00
24) Adjustment to compute total not yet redistributed		\$0.00
25) Total not yet redistributed (sum of lines 23 and 24)		\$0.00
26) Retained by recipients		\$0.00
27) Adjustment to compute total retained		\$0.00
28) Total retained (sum of lines 26 and 27)		\$0.00
C. Expenditures of State CDBG Resources		
29) Drawn for State Administration (21J)		\$317,403.25
30) Adjustment to amount drawn for State Administration		\$0.00
31) Total drawn for State Administration		\$317,403.25
32) Drawn for Technical Assistance (19H)		\$0.00
33) Adjustment to amount drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
34) Total drawn for Technical Assistance		\$0.00
35) Drawn for Section 108 Repayments (19F or 19G)		\$0.00
36) Adjustment to amount drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
37) Total drawn for Section 108 Repayments		\$0.00
38) Drawn for all other activities (not 21J, 19H, 19F or 19G)		\$0.00
39) Adjustment to amount drawn for all other activities		\$0.00
40) Total drawn for all other activities		\$0.00

D. Compliance with Public Service (PS) Cap [HCDA §105(a)(8)]		Grant Number B19DC420001
41)	Disbursed in IDIS for PS (05* or 03T)	\$0.00
42)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for PS	\$0.00
43)	Total disbursed for PS (sum of lines 41 and 42)	\$0.00
44)	Amount subject to PS cap	
45)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$39,785,393.00
46)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
47)	Adjustment to compute total subject to PS cap	\$0.00
48)	Total subject to PS cap (sum of lines 45-47)	\$39,785,393.00
49)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for PS (line 43 / line 48)	0.00%
E. Compliance with Planning and Administration (P/A) Cap 24 CFR 570.489(a)		
50)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from all fund types - Combined (not 19H?)	\$317,403.25
51)	Adjustment to compute total disbursed for P/A	\$0.00
52)	Total disbursed for P/A (sum of lines 50 and 51)	\$317,403.25
53)	Amount subject to Combined Expenditure P/A cap	
54)	State Allocation (line 1)	\$39,785,393.00
55)	Program Income Received (line 5)	\$0.00
56)	Adjustment to compute total subject to P/A cap	\$0.00
57)	Total subject to P/A cap (sum of lines 54-56)	\$39,785,393.00
58)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 52 / line 57) Combined Cap	0.80%
59)	Disbursed in IDIS for P/A from Annual Grant Only	\$0.00
60)	Amount subject the Annual Grant P/A cap	
61)	State Allocation	\$39,785,393.00
62)	Percent of funds disbursed to date for P/A (line 59 / line 61) Annual Grant Cap	0.00%
Part II: Compliance with Overall Low and Moderate Income Benefit		
63)	Period specified for benefit: grant years	2019
64)	Final PER for compliance with the overall benefit test:	NO
		2019
65)	Benefit LMI persons and households (1)	0.00
66)	Benefit LMI, 108 activities	0.00
67)	Benefit LMI, other adjustments	\$0.00
68)	Total, Benefit LMI (sum of lines 65-67)	0.00
69)	Prevent/Eliminate Slum/Blight	0.00
70)	Prevent Slum/Blight, 108 activities	0.00
71)	Total, Prevent Slum/Blight (sum of lines 69 and 70)	0.00
72)	Meet Urgent Community Development Needs	0.00
73)	Meet Urgent Needs, 108 activities	0.00
74)	Total, Meet Urgent Needs (sum of lines 72 and 73)	0.00
75)	Acquisition, New Construction, Rehab/Special Areas noncountable	0.00
76)	Total disbursements subject to overall LMI benefit (sum of lines 68, 71, 74, and 75)	0.00
77)	Low and moderate income benefit (line 68 / line 76)	#DIV/0!
74)	Other Disbursements	1.00
79)	State Administration	317,403.25
80)	Technical Assistance	0.00
81)	Local Administration	0.00
82)	Section 108 repayments	0.00

**CERTIFICATION OF REVIEW OF THE
CONSOLIDATED PLAN
ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER)
AND
STATE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT (PER)**

We do hereby certify that we have reviewed the Consolidated Plan Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) and State Performance Evaluation Report (PER) prepared by the Department of Community and Economic Development – Center for Community and Housing Development as required by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development, and to the best of our knowledge, have found it to be complete, accurate and in accordance with federal regulations.

Signature: *Mary J. Smith*
Mary J. Smith, Chief

Signature: *Kathy A. Possinger*
Kathy Possinger, Director

Date: March 26, 2020